CONSEIL DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL



EXEMPLAIRE N° 275

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH
18th August, 1972

WORKING PAPER AC/276-WP(72)34

MUTUAL AND BALANCED FORCE REDUCTIONS WORKING GROUP

FUTURE WORK PROGRAMME OF THE SUB-GROUP ON MOVEMENT CONSTRAINTS

Note by the Chairman of the Sub-Group on Movement Constraints

At their meeting on 11th August, 1972, the Sub-Group on Movement Constraints discussed the future Work Programme for their continued study.

- 2. The first part of the study, as reported in Working Paper AC/276-WP(72)27 of 29th June, 1972, limited itself to:
 - ground forces
 - in the NATO Guidelines Area
 - with the same constraints on both sides
 - in a pre-MBFR situation.
- 3. Even though it would be more logical to build upon this foundation gradually, by imposing step by step more complex circumstances to the problem, the Sub-Group decided to leave such an approach because of two reasons:
 - this procedure will be too time-consuming;
 - the results obtained will perhaps not be the most needed at the beginning of exploratory talks with the other side.
- 4. The Sub-Group, considering the first finished part of the study to be the smallest concept concerning possible areas and constraints, propose to take as a second step of the study the greatest concept concerning areas and constraints.
- 5. From the scale of possibilities offered in AC/276(SGMC)-N/4, the Sub-Group chose for the next study:
 - Ground forces and Air lift of ground forces.
 - Constraints area on NATO side: West Germany, Benelux countries (North) Norway, Greece and Turkey.

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

NATO CONFIDENTIAL

AC/276-WP(72)34

-2-

- Constraints area on WP side: East Germany,
 Poland, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, 3 WMDs,
 Bulgaria and areas adjacent to Turkey.
- Same constraints on both sides

and also

- Same constraints on both sides, but variable in different zones.
- Pre-MBFR and Post-MBFR.
- 6. Although realising that the areas mentioned in paragraph 5 are not equal in size, the Sub-Group:
 - are of the opinion that the inclusion of the territories of the United Kingdom and/or Denmark is not opportune.
 - will not include the territory of France, unless the Working Group request them to do so.
 - 7. The MBFR Working Group is requested:
 - to approve the Sub-Groups proposal for the second step of the study on Movement Constraints or to provide an alternative approach.
 - to inform the Sub-Group about the desirability of including or excluding the territory of France in a Movement Constraints Concept.

(Signed) W.M. GOOSSENS Captain, RNLN

NATO, 1110 Brussels.

NATO CONFIDENTIAL