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SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
The economic development of Bulgaria is closely dependent on its relations with the Soviet Union, both as regards its industrialisation and as regards the implementation of economic reforms and the pattern of its foreign trade. A traditionally agricultural country, it has been developing its industry for some years with remarkable speed, the rate of growth being one of the highest in the world. Its stendard of living is sufficiently high for the country to be considered as heving progressed beyond the under-development stage. Nevertheless, as in most socialist economies, there has been a tendency for the rate of economic expension to slow down during recent yeers.: More heavily dependent on foreign trade then the other countries of Eastern Europe, Bulgaria et present conducts $55 \%$ of its trensactions with the USSR and plens to raise this proportion to $70 \%$ during the next decade (paragraphs 1-3).
2. : In spite of its small size, sparse population, limited naturel resources and restricted domestic merket, Bulgaria has carried through its industrial transformation mainly owing to the financial and technicel help given by the USSR: the ratio between industrial output and agricultural output stood et 16:42 just before the war: it had become 49:26 in fevour of industry in 1968 (paragraphs 4-11).
3. The first steps had been taken between 1966 and 1968 to implement an economic reform programme based largely on the Czechoslovak model; however, it had been introduced with much hesitation and only in certain limited sectors of the economy. After the events of the summer of 1968, the Bulgarian leaders thought it advisable to steer clear of the liberal reform philosophy of the Czech economists and to return more uncompromisingly to Soviet concepts. Very few of the originel intentions have survived this reversal of policy, which has been accompanied by increased Party control over the economy. Future prospects depend primarily on the Soviet attitude (paragraphs 12-35).
4. It has been announced that with the co-operation of the Soviet Union there is to be a reform of the planning system involving the use of computers; once this system has been worked out, it should serve as a prototype for all the countries of Easterm Europe (paragraphs 36-37).
5. As regards industrial output, Bulgaria had had the highest growth rate of the COMECON countries (15.9\%) up to 1960; since 196I, however, this distinction has gone to Pumania. The originality of the 1966-1970 Five Year Plan lies in the fact that priority has no longer been given to iron and steel but to chemicals; industrial output is to rise at an average cnnual rate of $3.2 \%$ until it accounts for $52-53 \%$ of the national income in 1970 (paragraphs 38-43).
6. In the economic field, the last two years have been marked by a certain decline attributable mainly to the disappointing agricultural record resulting from bad weather. Bulgaria's agriculture, however, is one of the best organized in Eastern Europe; as a result of relentless and energetic efforts to-modemise farming-equipment and improve methods, yields, even during periods of drought, are $2 \frac{1}{2}$ times higher than before the war. Industry is continuing to expand rapidy (the rate being $11.8 \%$ in 1968 and $9.9 \%$ in 1969) and special attention is being given to the demands of technology: the regime seems to be preoccupied with atomic power and computers. The standard of living of the Bulgarian population has improved considerably during recent years, and in 1969, which marked the 25 th anniversary of the revolution, the growth rate of the consumer goods sector for the first tine exceeded that of capital goods. Nevertheless, the high level of private savings indicates that the choice and quality of goods available are still inadequate (paragraphs 44-70).
7. Bulgaria's exports represent $22 \%$ of the net material product and the volume of trade is increasing wore quickly than the growth rate of the national income. The trade pattern has noticeably improved as a resuit of industrial expansion, and the proportion of manufactured products in the country's exports rose from $32 \%$ in 1960 to $46 \%$ in 1969; however, this diversification is mainly confined to intra-COMECON relations. One of the salient features of the Bulgarian economy is its close dependence on the USSR, whose deliveries of raw materials and equipment are of vital importance for industrialisation and which offers a practically unlimited outlet for a good many products which would be difficult to sell on Western markets. Bulgaria's trade with Western countries still represents only a small shere of the total and its prospects in this direction are limited by its extensive indebtedness. The adoption of a restrictive policy in respect of imports as from 1968 resulted last year in a smaller number of foreign transactions, in particular with Western countries, and in the first positive trade balance (paragraphs 71-81).
8. Bulgaria has greatly benefitted from its membership of CONECON, some countries of which (Czechoslovakia, the Soviet-occupied Zone of Germany, and Poland) have also provided substantial aid. This is why Bulgaria is not opposed to plans for comoperation and closer integration within COMECON. A decision was recently taken for joint planning in certain economic sectors between Bulgaria and the Soviet Union, and then with Poland as well. Like certain other countries oi Eastern Europe, Bulgaria has lately shown an interest in the establishment of mixed enterprises to be managed jointly with Western companies (paragraphs 82-34).
9. At its present level, Bulgaria's industrialisation can no longer advance at a sufficiently rapid pace without the cid of the equipment and sophisticated technology of the West. In view of the substantial credits already granted, this means that it will have to make considerable efforts if its products are to be competitive on Western markets. The conclusion of comoperative agreements between Western and Bulgarian concems and the growth of tourism might help to solve this problem. It is true that Bulgaria's very close ties with the Soviet Union discourage it from taking any initiative which might incur Moscowis displeasure, but the influs of touristis, besides bringing in foreign currency, has, no doubt, had some psychological effect on the population. However, the level of Bulgaria's trade with the West is so low that it could probably be developed without alarming the USSR ${ }_{\text {ت̈ }}$
10. The country's modernisation entails a measure of conomic and intellectual liberalisation which is in the interest of the West; it is also in its interest to develop its trade with Bulgaria, which provides an outlet for its industrial exports. Although the Western countries have little chance of parting Bulgaria Irom the Soviet Union in the near future, they could none the less help it to promote its national interests by establishing closer economic relations and by making it easicr for Bulgarian products to come on to their own markets. However, it should be remembered that several of Bulgaria's exports may compete with those of NATO countries also undergoing industrialisation.
DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE - PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE
$\frac{\text { NATO UNCIASSIFTED }}{\text { AC/ } 127-D / 70.2}$

|  | Hungary | Albania | Bulgaria | CSSR | Zone | Poland | Rumania |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area (sq. km) <br> Douulation <br> iVot amnuel rate <br> of increase | $\begin{gathered} 93,030 \\ 10,256,000 \\ 0.34 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,748 \\ & 2,019,000 \\ & 2.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110,912 \\ 8,370,000 \\ 0.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 127,859 \\ 14,362,000 \\ 0.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 108,239 \\ 17,084,000 \\ 0.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 312,700 \\ 32,305,000 \\ 1 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 237,500 \\ 19,721,000 \\ 0.6 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| National Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net material pro or | $t: 8,556$ <br> h: Indust <br> Buildi <br> Agricu <br> Transp <br> Trade: <br> Other: | ion leva <br> 49\% 9\% <br> $e$ and Fore and Commun $0 \%$ $1 \%$ | $1968$ $y: \quad 26 \%$ $\text { tion: } 4$ |  |  |  |  |

## Groizth by Sector

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1961-1965 \\ & \text { (average) } \end{aligned}$ | 1968 | 1969 (actual) | $\begin{aligned} & 1970 \\ & \text { (plan) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National income | 6.7\% | 6.4\% | $7.7 \%$ |  |
| Gross industrial production | 11.7\% | 10.4\% | 9.7\% | 9.0\% |
| Gross agricultural production | 3.2\% | -8.7\% | 9.3\% $2.4 \%$ | 12\%-14.7\% |
| Investment Employment | 10.8\% | 9.5\% | 6.5\% | $12 \%-14.7 \%$ $-1.5 \%$ |
| Industirial productivity | 4.3\% | 1.2\% | 3. $5 \%$ | $-1.5 \%$ $-0.7 \%$ |
| Industirial productivity Real income per capita | 6.9\% | 9.1\% | 6.3\% | $-0.7 \%$ $9.9 \%$ |
| Real income per capita | 5.0\% | 2.7\% | $3.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |

[^0]ECONOMIC PROFILE OF BULGARIA

Trade with other Communist countries: $25.9 \%$
Trade with developing countries: $5.6 \%-6.6 \%$
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## ECONOMIC TRENDS IN BULGARTA

1. With $a$ population of $8,472,000$ inhabitants and an area of $110,900 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$, Bulgaria is one of the smallest countries of Exstern Europe. It is also one of the least developed ones, its gross national product per head, estimated at $\$ 1,010$ in 1967, representing about two-thirds of that of the USSR (but three or four times that of Albania) (l). Tevertheless, its economy does have several quite original features.
2. Bulgaria's economic development is closely dependent on its relations with the Soviet Union, both as regards the development of its industry and as regards the implementation of economic reforms and the pattern of its foreign trade; the events of 1968 further strengthened the already predominent role of the USSR in Bulgaria's policy. A traditionally agricultural country, Bulgaria has been developing its industry for some years with remarkable speed, the rate of growth being one of the highest in the world; having started at a very humble level, Bulgaria has now progressed beyond the under-developmont stage, mainly owing to the financial and technical help given by the USSR. Limited natural resources and too narrow a domestic market make Bulgaria more heavily dependent on foreign trade than all the other countries of Eastern Europe; at present, transactions with the USSR reprosent $55 \%$ of the total volume of trade and this proportion should increase to 70\% during the next decade.
3. The purpose of this report is to review Bulgaria's economic situation and its future prospects; the study is divided into threc parts, dealing successively with the relevant background information, domestic economic developments, and external econonic relations.

## I. BACKGROUND IITEPMIATION

## A. No.tural rosources

4. Except for the processing of agricultural products; there is no ncturcl basis for a Bulgarian industry. Anthracite deposits are scarce, but the lignite resources are sufficient to meet the present domestic demand, and it is thought that this will allow more powerful generating stations to be built. The amount of oil discovered and extracted so far is comparatively small; the production of natural gas may be more promising, for the reserves are estimated at 30 milliard cliojc netres. Two metallurgical complexes have been set up as a
(1) However, the notion used in Bulgarian official statistics does not correspond to the Western concept of gross national product; there are considerable divergencies between liesterm experts" estimates of the latter owing to differences in their nethods of calculation
NATO UNCLASSIFIED -8-
result of the discovery of iron ore, but Bulgaria has practically no coking coal which must, therefore, be imported. The Eastern Phodope Mountains contain deposits of lead, zinc, copper and other non-ferrous metals but in small quantities. Sulphuric acid is produced by roasting sulphurous metallic ores; salt resourcos are adequate for the production of caustic soda and chlorine. Bulgaria has to import potassium and phosphates bui has sufficient quantities of lime and of all other materials required for the manufacture of cement. The production of cellulose may be appreciably increased once proper access roads to lumbering regions have been built.

## B. Population and emplovment

5. At the end of 1969, Bulgaria had a population of 8,472,000, which represents a density of 75.7 inhabitants per square kilometre. Ethnic minorities (approximately $12 \%$ of the population) include 660,000 Turks, whose number is expected to decrease gradually in accordance with the Turkish-Bulgarian cgreement on the reuniting of families, and about 200,000 gypsies, The growth rate of this population has been steadjly declining since the post-war period. The rising trend still recorded each year is mainly due to a reduction in infantile mortality and to an increase in longevity. The proportion of youth to the rest of the population is the lowest in the Balkan countries, being $23.9 \%$ in Bulgaria as against $26.3 \%$ in Rumania and 31. Ii in Yugoslavia. The birth rate continued to drop throughout the last decade and fell to 14.9 per thousand in 1966 and to 15 per thousand in 1967; in 1967, when there was a slight incroase in the death rate ( 9 per thousand), the rate of natural growth was the lowest ever recorded in 50 years. Hew measures were then taken by the Bulgarian leaders to increase the birth rate; these were almed in particular at encouraging families to have three children, the minimum considered essontial in order to reach a population figure of 10 million inhabitants between now and 1980. Probably as a result of these measures, the birth rate jumped to 16.9 in 1963 and to 17.3 in 1969, but the Bulgarian Authorities have set themselves a tangei of 18 per thousand.
6. The economically active population was estimated at 4,235,000 in 1965, representing $51.4 \%$ of the total population. The population of working age - this being between 16 and 60 For men and bevwcen 16 and 55 for women - at present exceeds 4.9 million. Uninanisation is making rapid progress and the predominance of the rural population is about to disappear. In 1969, the lattor numbered only $4,243,600$, while the urban population had reached the figure of $4,160,500$. Taking into account the increasing mechanisation of agriculture, there is no shortage of labour; it is necessary, on the contrary, to create a suificient number of new jobs.
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## C. Industrialisation of Bulgaria

7. In its cconomic policy, the Bulgarian Government has always faithfully adhered to the Soviet model and has received full support from the USSR. One of the reasons for the extent of this support lies in Bulgaria's potential role as the one country having common frontiers with both Greece and Turkey, which are also going through the process of industrialisation.
8. A traditionally agricultural country, Bulgaria, since the advent of the Communist régime, has been expanding all its activitics and this is bringing about a complete change in its economic patterns. In 1939, industry provided only 15\% of the national income and consisted chiefly of smell family concerns, the few large industries existing at the time being dominated by foreign capital. The ratio between industrial output and agricultural output at that time was 16:42; it had become 49:26 in favour of industry in 1968; these figures illustrate the great transformation effected in the space of a fow years.
9. In this connection, agriculture played an essenticl part by meeting the requirements for a growing labour force and by providing the State with a supply of foreign currency as the result of its important export activity. The authorities heve never lost sight of its interests or of its importance in the life of the country. Collectivisation has been pursued with an awareness of the real conditions of peasant life which has offen been lacking in other socialist countries; it was also facilitated by a long tradition: Bulgaria is the only country in Eastern Europe to have carried out, even Defore the Second World War, an interesting and remarkably successful experiment with agricultural co-operatives on the farmers spontaneous initiative.
10. The original feature of Bulgaria's industrialisation is the fact that it has been based on the expansion of electric, and especially hydro-electric, power. Since 1949, the year in which the economy reached its pre-war level, modernisation of the country has been effected in a series of five year plans, priority being given to the establishment of heavy industry. Following the example of the USSR, more ambitious long-term targets were laid down in the directives covering economic development in the period 1961-1980. The growth in Bulgarie's industry is mainly due to deliveries of capital goods from the Soviet Union and other European Communist countries; the credits granted by the USSR are euriently estimated at 1.8 milliard roubles. Western countries, too, have contributed, though less decisively, to the industrialisation of Bulgaria, and the latter shows a growing interest in the modern equipment and advanced technology of the West as its economy becomes more diversified. As regards the
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gross industrial product, Bulgaria had the highest growth rate of the COIECON countries in to 1950 (an average of $15.9 \%$ between 1956 and 1960); since 1961, however, this distinction has gone to Rumania. The swift growth rate of these two countrics is a sign that their industrial development is still at an early stagc.
11. At the beginning of the 1960s, in spite of high growth rates and repid modernisation, the Bulgarian economy Vas faced with the same kind of problem as most of the other countries of Eastem Europe. Centralised planning methods had been effective in the first stages of industrialisation, but with the groving complexity of the economy, its future prospects of expansion seemed threatened by excessive centralisation, arbitrary pricing and the lack of material incentives. A thorough reform of the system of controlling the economy seemed essential.

## $D_{0}$ - Economic reforms

12. Although the Bulgarian leaders gave this matter some personal thought, they seem to have been guided by the Sovict attitude. The first decision to reform the planning and monagement systom was taken in November 1962, shortly after Liberman's theorics were published in the USSR. As earl y as 1963, the proposcd measures were tried out in a number of pilot enterprisos, but were finally accepted only in 1965 after the new Soviet system had been adopted by the 23 rd Congress of the Commuist Party.: The first steps were tiken between 1966 and 1968 to implement this reform programme, which was based largely on the Coech model; however, it was introduced with much hesitation and only in certain limited sectors of the ecomomy. After the events of the summer of 1968, the Bulgarian leaders thought it advisable to steer clear of the liberal reform philosophy of the Czechoslovak economists and to return more uneompromisingly to Soviet concepts. Very fer of the original ideas have survived this reversal of policy, which was accompanied by increased Party control over the economy.

## The initial programe

13. Aimed at establishing a more competitive economy and at introducing more flexible management methods, the Bulgarian reform programe tried to combine increased autonomy for enterprises with the maintenance of a centralised planning system. However, the measures envisaged were weakened by the half-hearted way in which they were implemented.
14. Enterpisises were to be allowed more freedom in thein production, investment and wage policies. In principle, theiz decisions werc to be based solely on the criterion of profit. The Government, however, maintained a number of market regulators, allowing it to keep strict control over economic
developments. The autonomy enjoyed by enterprises under the new economic system was restricted in so far as decentralisation meant that the decision-making powers were delegated to "industrial associations" managed by Govermment nominees and invested with authority to co-ordinate production, scientific and technical rosearch and sales policy. Democratisation within the enterprise took the form of "production committees"; these were elective bodies with purely consultative functions concerning, in particular, profitsharing decisions and the choice of the managerial staff.
15. The notion of "planning at base" seemed to be a sign of a trend towards liberalisation, enterprises no longer being required to reach a production target fixed by the state but being allowed to negotiate contracts with their suppliers and customers; hovever, for essential commodities, the State entered into annual contracts which were designed to cover the requirements of the domestic market and of the export progreme and which, in proportion to the importance of these comodities in total output, which varied according to economic sector, had the effect of imposing limits on the freedom of action of enterprises. However, the number of compulsory indices in the plan had been reduced, so that only the volume of production, the value of investments, and the allocation of raw materials and basic products continued to be subject to centralised planning, although exchange controls were maintained; in fact, other indices were fixed during the trial period oi the reforms.
16. Fiscal policy was centred on profitability. The main source of revenue was turnover tax, variations in which were a means of influencing the level of prices. The new system had introduced a tax on the capital of enterprises to induce them to reduce their excess capacities. There was also a progressive tox on profits, designed to act as an incentive and at the same time to control the level of wages and profits, but it proved, in practice, to have the effect of slowing down the rate of expansion.
17. As rogards investments, it was intended that selffinancing and bank credits should to a large extent take the place of budgetary appropriations. For this purpose, enterprises were to establish a development and modernisation fund representing a certain proportion of their capital and profits deposited at the National Bank, on which interest was to be paid. Bank credits also carried interest, at a rate inversely proportionate to the enterprise's profitability. The end-result of this arrangement was, in fact, to penalise certain branches which showed a deficit yet were of vital significance to the economy, e.g. heavy industry. In practice, however, most investments continued to be the subject of centralised planning. Thus, at the end of 1967, about $10 \%$ of
the projects were financed by bank credits, although $70 \%$ of the industrial enterprises had gone over to the new system. To encourage a more rational use of capital, the sums set oside for depreciation were increased - despite continuing uncertainty regarding the method of assessing assets - and interest at $6 \%$ was charged on the fixed and working capital of enterprises. In the final analysis, the object of the new system was to remove some of the obstacles inhibiting economic growth, while at the same time leaving at the disposal of the State economic controls to enforce observance of the priorities laid dow by the political authorities.
18. With proiit becoming the pain criterion of economic activity, a system of financial incentives tied the remuneration of the workers to the success of the enterprise. A wages fund was established, which the enterprise could use to pay both the State-guaranteed part of the wages and the additional amount which varied according to each worker's contribution to the total output. From 1967 onwards, however, the-original scheme was revised-on-less-liberal lines; with the introduction of the hourly wage, the system now provided for profit-sharing on the basis of many different criteria from which an enterprise could select those best suited to the nature of its activity. Wages cuts were to be made if the accounts showed a deficit, and new production norms were laid down. The reform programme thus became extremely complex and arbitrary; although each of the measures adopted was individually sound, their simultaneous application could only lead to confusion. What was being done, in effect, was to find piecemeal solutions to certain problems instead of applying a coherent policy.
19. Price reform was primarily aimed at adapting wholesale pricos to production costs, the ultimate goal being to put an end to the system of open and hidden subsidies while adhering to the State's economic policy and respecting the law of supply and demand. With this end in view, three categories of prices were established on the Czechoslovak model: prices fixed by the authorities for essential products; prices freely negotiated by enterprises within upper and lower limits determined by the State; and free prices. No precise indication of the proportion of products falling into each of these categories was given. The implementation of the reform was repeatedly postponed, in fact; further conditions were laid down for each of the three categories of prices by the Bulgarian leaders, which raised doubts as to their sincerity. In short, it seems that the old price system never ceased to operate during 1966 and 1967.
20. Even before the reforms were discussed, several measures had been taken in the agricultural sector to stimulate production: in particular, a guaranteed minimum wage for members of collective farms, the introduction of a pension scheme, the payment of bonuses, and the provision of incentives for the cultivation of privately-owned plots. The new system
aimed at reducing the number of compulsory indices and sought to make agricultural incomes depend on output. Attempts were made to approximate the agricultural comoperative system to that of industrial enterprises and State farms, particularly by establishing various funds which limited their theoretical autonomy, by abolishing rent and by placing restrictions on the cultivation of privately-owned plots. On the whole, the Bulgarian leaders seemed to be more satisfied with the reforms introduced in the agricultural sector than with those applied in industry.
21. The first steps taken up to 1968 to implement the reform programme merely amounted to a mixture of sometimes contradictory measures of unequal value. However, they represented an efrort to improve the centralised planning and management system then in force. Although not all the promises made were kept and although the new principles were only applied piecemeal, considerable progress was, in fact, made towards the rationalisation of the economy.

## The 1968 reversal of policy


#### Abstract

22. With a sudden reversal of policy, the Bulgarian Authorities returned to their previous concepts during the summer of 1968. The official reason given for this change was that the soientific and technological revolution made it necessary to resort to mathematical methods and computers to manage the economy and that this entailed some recentralisation. This is not a very convincing explanation in the case of a oountry as little advanced as Bulgaria. It would seem nearer the truth to say that the course of events in Czechoslovakia had strengthened the fear that liberal-type reforms might undermine the political monopoly of the Communist Party and loosen the Soviet Union's hold over Eastern Europe.


23. In December 1968, after some pressure had been exerted by the USSR and other Communist countries, a Government reshuffle took place, several Ministries being abolished or merged and new ones created. The key economic posts were given to high-ranking Party officials, some of whom were members of the Political Bureau. In addition, the people's councils were called upon to supervise the activity of all the organizations and enterprises located in their respective districts. All these changes were designed to increase the Communist Partyis influence on the management of the economy, both at the central and at the local level. This did not mean that the reform programme was discarded, but it was radically recast in the light of Soviet concepts. It was to be applied to the whole economy on 1st January, 1969.
24. An "Economic Co-ordination Committee", attached to the Council of Iinisters, virtually exercises control over the whole economy; in addition to the hamonisation of economic and finoncial projects and measures, its responsibilities cover the allocotion of investment resources, price policy and cconomic and teohnical co-operation with foreign countries. This has appreciably reduced the powers devolving upon the "industrial associations" or "trusts".
25. The measure of autonomy which had been granted to onterprises has been reduced by the restoration of central planning as regards supplies (for which a new Ministry has been set up), wages funds and technology, and by the definition of new criteria for the establishment of enterprise funds, the fixing of interest rates, the taxation system and customs tariffs. Furthermore, participation within the enterprise is limited by new regulations which put the manager at the head of the production committees, now to be known as "economic committees", and extend the powers delegated to the trade unions, which are considered to be more docile.
26. Within this rigid framework, enterprises cannot easily take the initiative in finding ways to maximise profits. However, measures have been introduced to facilitate selfm financing and recourse to bank credit, while providing at the same time for the allocation of temporary subsidies. There is a ceiling for capital investments and a new interest rate policy has been outlined. The banking system was reorgenized on Ist April, 1969; it was decided to set up an Industrial Bank and an Agiicultural and Commercial Bank with a separate legal identity, but subordinated to the Bulgarian National Bank: they operate in the provinces through the agencies of the National Bank and their respective presidents are vicem presidents of the latter. This reform is aimed at increasing the control excreised by the banks; these will have the right to examine the coonomic and financial position of enterprises in order to give priority as regards credit to those with the best profitability record. Furthermore, in February 1970, a central auditing system was introduced at the Ministry of Finance in ordcr to restrict the financial independence of onterprises. "Trusts" are to be made responsible for the task of managing funds to be used for technical development purposes.
27. The oomplexity of the rules for calculating remuneration payments was further aggravated when the multiple criteria system was extended to apply to the basic wage and not only to profit-sharing schemes. There is a longoterm plan to establish noms which will take technological progress into account. As regards price reform, while rationalisation
and flexibility officially continue to be the goals of the régime, and although a revision of wholesale prices. agricultural prices and customs tariffs has been unnounced; it is quite clear that the trend towards centralisation is affecting this ficld just as much as the economy as a whole, and the idea of a tripartite price system seems to have been shelved for the time being.
28. The reforms introduced in the agricultural sector since 1968 have mode farmeaching changes in its structure, management methods and scientific and technical stondards which, by the middle of the next decade, will bear very little resemblance to what they were ten years earlier. Bulgaria's economic policy in this sector is pursuing a dual goal: the specialisation and concentration of agricultural production and the achievement of an integrated production cycle.
29. Specialisation and concentration have been the kcynotes for the last two years, especially since the Central Committee Plenum of September 1969, and a trend towards the merging of colloctive farms has appeared: this generally means forming groups of two to four agricultural co-operatives, these being composed, in their turn, of several farms which had been independent until the first concentration drive in 1958-59. Great emphasis is also being laid on the need to introduce industrial methods in the agricultural sector. For example, huge stock farming units have been created within the framework of the collective farms or by several farms on a comoperative basis, and "complex mechanisation brigades" have been formed.
30. The most original feature of Bulgaria's agriculturcl reforms is the efiort to achieve an integrated production cycle, from the production of raw materials to the marketing of the finished products. The establishment in 1965 of a number of bodies under State control to be responsible for the procurement and processing of agricultural products and their sale on domestic and foreign markets may, like the merging of the Ministry of Agriculture with the Ministry of the Food Industry, be regarded as a first step in this direction. It was also with this end in view that several "agriculturalindustrial complexes" were formed on a purely experimental basis at the end of 1968 and the beginning of 1969; they are groups of State forms or agricultural co-operatives which havo been given the task of organizing joint industrial, commercial and building entcrprises. These complexes cover more then 30,000 hectares and each one employs approximately 50,000 people. Doubts have been voiced as to the profitability of such huge concerns, in view of the failure of on experimental concentration schene in 1958-59; since that time, however, so much progress has beon made in infrastructure, technology and monegement thet it would be unwise to jump to conclusions. Moreover, this new formula should go some way towards slowi-g down the exodus from rural areas. -16
31. There is continuing uncertaintiy as regards the optimum organization of the agricultural sector, and other solutions have been tried out recently: one is the "industrial-agricultural combine" where, in contrast to the agricultural-industrial complex, the basic cell consists of an industrial enterprise; another is the agrocomplex, which is more concerned with the concentration and specialisation of production; its originality lies in the fact that it groups together a number of enterprises which retain their legal and economic independence under the authority of a board of management: thirdly, there is a variation of the agricultural-inaustrial complex where the component units are entirely independent except for the supervision of a comordinating committee.
32. In discussions on agricultural reorganization, the coexistence of the two farming systems has never been challenged, although differences in status are becoming progressively less marked. Not so long ago, it was mainly a question of abandoning-some-of the-special features-of collective farming; the co-operative principle faded out with the abolition of the payment of rent to the peasants and, therefore, of their theoretical ownership of land, and with the introduction of the minimum wage guarantee. More recently, however, it seems to have been agreed that the agricultural co-operatives are, in fact, superior, and their methods in the fields of planning, financing and the remuneration of labour have been extended to the State farms. Furthermore, whereas hitherto a large number of collective farms (approximately 200 between 1962 and 1966) generally with a poor profitability record and locatcd in mountainous regions, were converted into State farms, the opposite trend was noted for the first time in the spring of 1969 when several State farms were incorporated in collective farms. Nevertheless, there continue to be two quite distinct categories, as is confirmed by the recent managenent reforms, with the creation of the Central Union of Agricultural Co-operatives for the collective farms in 1967, the adoption of a new legal status for the State farms in 1965, when a central "trust" was set up, and the establishment in 1969 of associations of state farms, as intermediate bodies between the two existing forms, and placed under the authority of both the central trust and the local people's councils.
33. In the field of foreign trade, no new measures heve been introduced since 1968 apart from a customs duty reform which is due to come into force on lst July, 1970; this provides for a multi-tier tariff depending on whether it applies to members of CONECON, to countries which grant Bulgaria the most favoured nation treatment, or to other countries. On 20th November, I969, as part of the codification of the relevant laws, the control exercised by the Minister of Foreign Trade oven the activities of enterprises was strengthened, and the principle of Stete monopoly of foreign trade was reaffirmed in uncmbiguous temas. On 12th February, 1970, the Council of Ministers deprived some economic asscoiations of their right to establish direct contact with forelen firms and set up new groups under Ministries to perform this function.
34. In spite of the partial and piecemeal implementation of the initial programme and the reversal of policy in 1968, the Bulgarian economy has, on the whole, reaped substantial benefits from the experiment in progress. Emphasis is now laid on profits, material incentives and self-financing. Some of the powers formerly vested in the Ministries have been delegated to the "trusts" but as many of the key posts in these have been given to former officials, the effect of the transfer is somewhat limited. The reorganization of the banking system is likely to promote the decentralisation of investments, although State control has been tightened. From a more general standpoint, the trend of the reforms shows that the economic system is not a rigid ideological concept, but is capable of changing in the light of experience.
35. Future prospects are uncertain and they have become even more problematical following the action taken at the end of last year against the heads of certain economic associations because of their foreign trade activities, which had allegedly resulted in "breaches of State discipline in finsncial matters" and which have led the Bulgarian leaders to impose further restrictions on the rights granted to these groups. As the Bulgarian economy is less advanced than that of the other countries of Eastern Europe, it is true that it can operate more easily under a centralised planning and management system; none the less, a heavy price has to be paid for inefficiency, end pressure will inevitably be exerted in favour of more daring reforms. As in the past, any progress in this direction will depend very closely on the Soviet attitude.

## E. Science and technology

36. It has been announced that, with the co-operation of the Soviet Union, there is to be a reform of the planning system involving the use of computers. Once this system has been worked out, it should serve as a prototype for all the countries of Eastern Europe, but, owing to the lack of qualified personnel, this plan cannot be implemented until 1971. At the end of 1967, however, the ILO opened a study centre for management techniques in Sofia, employing American specialists. It has recently been decided that 300 computer centres using IBM equipment should be set up in Bulgaria. In accordance with an agreement reached with the USSR in May 1969, Bulgaria will specialise in the production of certain electronic machines; as output will greatly exceed national requirements, exports are expected to emount to 500 million roubles during the next five-year period. The September 1969 Plenum laid strong emphasis on the importance of technical progress, automation and computerisation.
37. Moreover, the importance of economic science seems to have been officially recognised and a forecasting comrission has just been set up which will be directly responsible to the Prime Minister and to the Political Bureau. Scientific research centros, specialising in the various branches of the economy, have also been planned for the financing and co-ordination of cotivities in this field, taking into account the need to ensure that none of these overlap and that attention is focused on fundamental problems.
II. DOMESTIC ECOHOMIC DEVELOPMENTS
A. General economic developments

## (1) Fourth Five-Year Plan (1961-1965)

38. The Bulgarian economy has expanded rapidly over the past decade. According to official statistics, the national income rose by an average of $6.5 \%$ per annum during the fivem year period 1961-1965.-Although-well-below-the-9.7\% achieved between 1956-1.961 and the $9.8 \%$ provided for in the Plan, this rate is one of the highest in Eastern Europe. During these five years, some 7,600 million leva were invested in the Bulgarian economy as compared with the planning target of 8,200, and certoin projects in the agricultural and in the housing sectors could not be carried through. Industrial growth was rapid - $11 \%$ between 1961 and 1965 as compared with $15.9 \%$ between 1956 and 1961- emphasis being put on the capital sector, the share of which in the total rose from $47.2 \%$ in 1961 to $52.3 \%$ in 1965, while the share of consumer goods dropped from $52.8 \%$ to $47.7 \%$. Progress was particularly merked in fuel and powor, petallurgy, the engineering and chemical Industries and building, mainly owing to aid from the Soviet Union and the other COMECON countries. In the agricultural sector, output rose more slowly despite heavy investments, and results were very uneven, with a yearly average of $5.7 \%$ between 1956 and 1960 end of $3.2 \%$ between 1961 and 1965 , when a rate of 8-9\% had been planned. These disappointing figures are largely due to bad weather, but also to a shortage of equipment and to inadequate deliveries of agricultural inputs.
(2) ISth Five-Year Plan (1966-1970)

## Tergets

39. The originality of the 1966-1970 Plan lay in the fact thet priority was no longer given to iron and steel but to chevicals. It provided for an increase in the national incore of $45 \%-50 \%$, representing an average growth rate of $8.7 \%$, and ita principal ain was to speed up industrialisation. Overall investments were to total 13 milliard leva and industry was to be allocated a much larger share ( $51.5 \%$ as compared with $47.1 s^{\circ}$ during the previous period) at the expense of agricuiture, whose share would fall from $24.1 \%$ to $18.1 \%$. Industrial output
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## GRAPHIQUE II - CHART II
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was to rise by about $70 \%$, representing an average annual increase of $8.2 \%$, and to account for about $52 \%$ of the national income by 1970. 1bout $70 \%$ of this increase was to be the result of improved productivity and technological progress. The branches of industry which were the most promising in this reepect, such as those capable of producing the most for export to the VIost or those which had benefitted from specialisation vithin the framework of COMECON, were to be given priority in the allocation of available resources. In particular, the engineering industry's share in overall production was to rise from $16.5 \%$ in 1965 to $20 \%$ in 1970 , end the chenical industry's share from $4.7 \%$ to $10.8 \%$. Agricultural output was to go up by $25 \%$ to $30 \%$, representing an annual average of $5.4 \%$, which was a lower but more realistic target then the onc set in the previous period. A special effort was to be rede in the fields of mechanisation and irrigation. The Plan also provided for an appreciable risc in the standard of living, and real incones were to increase by $30 \%$ between 1966 and 1970 .

## The first two years

40. In 1966, the first year of the Plan, national income rose by $11.1 \%$. This prompted the Bulgarian planners to raisc their average annual growth targets for the period as a whole from $7 \%$, as originolly planned, to $8.5 \%$. Despite some folling-off in the rate of expansion towards the end of the year, industricl output increased by $12.4 \%$, but the rise in the level of eaployment, particularly of unskilled labour, exceeded planining forecasts, while productivity declined. Agricultural periomance was exceptionally good, with production rising by $14.3 \%$, while investments increased by $22 \%$, particularly, it would seem, as a result of large inports of machinery and equipment financed from credits.
41. Expansion was a little slower in 1967, when the national incone nevertheless rose by $9 \%$. The agricultural growth rate dropped sharply to $3.5 \%$, but this was in comporison with an excellent year. In contrast, industrial output went up by $13.5 \%$ and the engineering and chemical industries, owing to the priority they were given in the allocation of investrient credits, incressed their share in overall output from 21. 2 ; to 24.1\% in two yocrs. Although the planning target had been oxceeded, the ratc of increase in the level of employment declined and productivity rose from $3 \%$ to $8.1 \%$. Instly, there was a further large increase in investrents ( $25 \%$ ).
42. During the first two years of the Plan, the ropid rise in industricl output and excellent harveste made for some irprovement in the standard of living. Nominal incomes rosc by $10 \%$ in 1966 and probably more in 1967, and real income also rose as a consoquonce of new wage regulations and stable prices. Retail sales expanded by $8.6 \%$ in 1966 and by $11.6 \%$ in 1967, and there was some difficulty in keeping the balance between supply and demand.
43. Bulgaricn economic expansion continued to slow down during 1968, when the net material product rose by only 6.5; as against the $10.5 \%$ planned. This situation seems to be primarily due to the bad weather which led to an $8.7 \%$ drop in agricultural output. The result was all the more disappointing because the rate of industrial growth (11.8\%) was better then anticipated and would have been sufficient to offset the negative impact on the national income of a drop in agricultural production if this had been less serious. Labour jroductivity improved further and investments continued to rise though at $c$ slower rate ( $9.5 \%$ ). A more modest rise in wages and employment helped to keep the balance between supply and demand, to which the reform of the price system also contributed.

## 1969

44. In 1969, none of the planning targets was reached. The economy continued to slow down and the rate of growth of the net matericl product fell back to $7.7 \%$ (as compared with the $10 \%$ planned). Because of poor harvests, agricultural production rose by only $2 \%$ and even industrial output rose nore slowly than planned ( $9.9 \%$ as compared with $11.6 \%$ ). This Increase was due clmost entirely to higher productivity, which nevertheless fell short of forecasts. There was a further decline in the rate of increase in investments ( $6.5 \%$ ), efforts having been dirccted primarily towards the completion of projects already in hand. There was again little increasc in real income per head (some 3\%) but, for the first time, to mark the 25 th anniversary of the revolution, production of consumer goods rose faster than production of capital (11\% as against 9.2\%)

## The Plan for 1970

45. By and large, planning targets for 1970 are less ambitious than those for the previous year and reflect the slower rate of economic growth. The national income is to increase by $9 \%$, and this should make it possible to reach and even exceed the Five-Year Plan targets, despite the poor performances of 1968 and 1969. Industrial output is to rise by $8.2 \%$ - the lowest figure set since 1960, and productivity by $9 \%$, which for the first time is higher than industrial output. No growth rate has been announced for agricultural productoratio but, according to the information available, it can be put at between $12 \%$ and $14.7 \%$. The share of "accumulation" in the national income should drop slightly, to $28.4 \%$, and a small reduction ( $1.5 \%$ ) is forecost in the volume of investments. In accordance with the new ecomomic system, three-quarters of these investments will be financed by the enterprises themselves. The drop in the growth rate anticipated for 1970 is partly due to recent difficultics affecting supplies of raw materials, enterprise controcts and transport, but it also reflects the intention to devclop the various branches of the economy on $a$ more selective basis.
46. At the end of the first four years, it cain, therefore, be said that the targets of the current Five-Year Plan will be met on the whole, even though the 1969 forecests have not fully materialised. In a good many sectors, annual growth rates have exceeded the targets. This applies to some extent to chemicols and light industry (foodstuffs, textiles, leather), and to several types of machinery produced by the engineering industry. The agricultural sector has lagged scriously behind because of the poor weather conditions of the past two years, and it is doubtful whether the ambitious target fixed for 1970 (a $12 \%-14.7 \%$ increase) will be attained.

## B. Consurption, investment and employment

## Productivity and erployment

47. The oxpension of the Bulgarian economy has so far been attributable to the increase in the number of employed: the manpower requirements of industrialisation were met by drawing extensivoly on the large reserves of agricultural labour, although complicated problems arose in connection with the assinilation and adaptation of these workers. The result was low productivity, one of the weaknesses of the system. Efforts have bcen made in recent years to stop the influx of agricultural workers and to make better use of the labour already available. In 1966, a modernisation programe was started in certain branches, particularly in ifight industry and in the food industry, and this led to a considerable saving of manpower. Steps vere aldo taken to retrain workers and to improve their fechnical qualifications. As a consequence of these efforts, the level of employment was stabilised in 1968 with the growth rote falling to $1.2 \%$, as compared with $9.4 \%$ in 1966, and lebour productivity rose during the same period from $2.7 \%$ to 9.15 . It fell again in 1969 ( $6.3 \%$ ) and the increase in employment, which may be estimated at $3.5 \%$, seens to point to a reversel of the earlier trend. None the less, the 1970 Plan provides for a greater increase in productivity - thon in industifal output ( $9 \%$ ) and for a lower level of employment $(-0.7 \%)$.
48. As part of the new system, Bulgaria had adopted an extremely libercl Iabour Code and there is considerable labour mobility. To strengthen economic co-operation between the two countries, a certain number of workers are employed in the Soviet Union, where labour is required for four major projects producing comnodities partly intended for Bulgaria: lunbering in Komi, where the original labour force of 2,000 is to rise to 9,000 in 1975; a cellulose factory at Archangel, where 2,000 workers cad ongineers have been sent; the laying of a gis pipeline botwoen the Soviet Union and Bulgaria, on which 4,000 are eaploycd; and the construction of metallurgical factories requiring a labour force of 4,500. Wages and bonuses are paid on the Soviet scale, but Bulgarian workers receive an additional bonus of approxinately $80 \%$. To encourage ther to stay in the USSR longer than the two years agreed,
they also benefit from various finoncial privileges, such as easy credit for the purchase of a house when they return home or the opportuaity of buying a car more cheaply with roubles, which are accepted as the equivalent of a hard currency. Attempts to send Bulgarian workers to other Communist countries (Sovict Zone of Germany and Czechoslovakia, in particular) have been unsuccessful.

## Investuents

49. The current Five-Year Plan had provided for the injection of 13 milliard leva into the development of the economy. This represented on $80 \%$ increase over the figure for the previous period. After a relatively steep upword trend in 1966 ( $22 \%$ ) and 1967 ( $25 \%$ ), when overall investments rose to 2,192.6 and 2,744 million leva respeotsvely, and efter 2 firther increase ( $9.5 \%$ ) in 1968, bringing the total up to 2,995 million leva, the rate of expansfon declined in 1969 to $6.5 \%$ ( 3,192 million leva), and the credita granted were earmarked almost ex ciusively for the completion of projects clready in hand. During the previous three years, uncompleted investment programes had accounted for $18 \%$ of overall investments, even jore in certain major branches of industry: $38 \%$ in engineering, $36 \%$ in non-f゙errous metals, $35 \%$ in chemicals, $34 \%$ in electric power and $29 \%$ in fuel production. The situation inproved considerably in this respect in 1969. The 1970 Plon provides for a reduction of $1.5 \%$ ( 3,140 million leva) and no major project will, be launched before the end of the year. Nevertheless, the torget of the current Five-Yecr plan will be almost met.
50. During the first three years of the current fivemyerr period, industry cbsorbed on average $49 \%$ of investments (compared with $51.5 \%$ planned), agriculture $19 \%$ (as compared with $18.1 \%$ ). N1Iocations to the four sectors, fuel and powor, metallurgy, engincering and chemical industries, together represented just over $72 \%$ of the tatal industrial investment in 1966, nearly $74 \%$ in 1967 and $71 \%$ in 1968.

## Stancorc of living

51. The stendard of living of the Bulgarion population has tmproved considerably in recent years. According to estimates which are admittedly tentative, the per capita gross national product (the Western concept) would seen to have passed the $\$ 1,000$ park. The current Five-Year Plan provides for a $30 \%$ increase in real income and ains to reduce the gap between the income of the peasant and urban worker.
52. After two excellent years, when real income per head rose some $20 \%$ (a little more for wage earners and a little less for peasants), 1968 and 1969 saw a marked down-turn. At the end of 1967, there was an appreciable rise in family allowances as part of a policy of encouraging a higher birth rate; a new pension scheme was introduced, and it was planned gradually to extend a five-day, 44-hour working week to all sectors. In 1968, the relatively rapid rise in total nominal incomes, the consequence of rising wages, a further rise in the level of employment, and improved social benefits, was cancelled out by price increases, particularly for foodstuffs. The retail price index went up by $4 \%$ during the year, but the rise in the cost of living was probably greater since the price of services (including rents) showed a tendency to climb even more steeply. This trend continued into 1969. However, concessions were made in December 1967 to some of the least privileged members of the community to neutralise the repercussions of price increases on their household budgets. A few wage increases were announced on the eve of the 25 th anniversary of the Bulgarian Communist régime, celebrated in September 1969, but they will only affect a limited number of workers and will come into force over six months. Cuts will also be made in the prices of a few consumer items of secondary importance.
53. The share of national income accounted for by consumption, which had been estimated at $65.8 \%$ in 1966 , rose to $69 \%$ in 1969 and should reach $71 \%$ in 1970. Because of the downturn in agricultural output, there has been no improvement in the supply of foodstuffs. The higher stenderd of living is reflected primarily in better quality of clothing, and in purchase of cars and electric domestic appliances. Government subsidies for housing have risen by $25 \%$ end per capita living space is currently $11.2 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{m}$.
C. Sector production

## Agriculture

54. Agriculture, by tradition the chief occupation in Bulgarie, now accounts for only $40 \%$ of the labour force, whereas before the war $82 \%$ of the population were still employed in this sector. Bulgaria was the first East European country to complete collectivisation, which it did in 1956, and $88 \%$ of farm land is now in the socialist sector. Private plots only account for $9 \%$ of arable land, but make a very substantial contribution to production.
55. Agricultural output represents about $26 \%$ of Bulgaria's nationel production. Its share of total exports exceeds $45 \%$; it provides nearly $75 \%$ of exports to the West. The current Five-Year Plan, 1966-1970, envisages an increase in agricultural output of $25 \%-30 \%$, which means an average annuel
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increcse of $5.4 \%$ as compared with the annual growth rate of $3.2 \%$ achieved during the period 1961-1965. To this end, investments of the order of 2,350 aillion ieva were allocated to agriculture for these five years. This represents $18.1 \%$ of total investruents, as against $24.1 \%$ in the 1961-1965 Pion, which shows that greater emphasis is now being placed on the requirements of industry.
56. During the first two years of the five-year period, harvests were cxccptionally good and agricultural output, therefore, jumped by 18.3\%。 The 1968 Plan envisaged a furthor rise of $10 \%$ but, because of poor weather, production fell $8.7 \%$ relative to 1967. The wheat harvest, which had risen to almost 3.2 miliion tons, reached only 2.3 million tons in 1968. With national consumption at 2.2 million tons, there was nevertheless $c$ surplus for export. The fruit and vegetables grown on irrigated land - the irrigation network now covers $20 \%$ of all arcble land - were less vulnerable to drought. On the other hand, Bulgaria was again forced to inport fodder grain, the chief supplicr being the Soviet Union; 50,000 tons of denatured wheat were purchased from the Federal Republic of Germany. Despite these peasures, the shortage of fodder led to a $3 \%$ drop in livestock production. Fertiliser supplies rose sharply ( $\left.39 i_{j}\right)^{\text {) and national production capacity continucd }}$ to expand. An cffort is being made to widen the range of machinery used in narket gardening and the cultivation of orchards and vinoyords in order to reduce manpower requircnents.
57. In 1969, weather colditions hampered agricultural development for the second year in succession; however, production incrocsed by $2 \%$ as compared with the target of $7 \%$. This increase is due almost exclusively to on excellent pajze crop (over 40 quintals to the hectare) which wos the result of large-scole irrigation and the use of selected hybrid strains. The livestock situction deteriorated seriously owing to the shortage of foddcr, which was the consequence of the poor harvests of the previous year, and production fell again by nearly $5 \%$. The arca of irrigated land increased by $3.5 \%$ and tractor deliverios were $u p$ by over 6 \%. Supplies of fertiliscr, on the other hanc, were considerably lower. Specialisction and concentration of production, especially of fruit and vegetables, were actively pursued in 1969.
58. By and large, Bulgarian agriculture is one of the best organized in Eastern Europe; as a result of relentless and energetic efforts to modernise farming equipment and improve nethods, yields, cven during periods of drought, are $2 \frac{1}{2}$ tines higher than before the war. While agriculture is nore heavily dependent on wecther conditions than any other sector, therc also seems to be another reason for the disappointing resultis of the past two yocrs. The introduction of far-reaching reforms in 1968 and 1969 probably caused some confusion and disrupted agricultural activities. Its negative influence may persist into 1970.

## Industipy

59. During the first two years of the current Five-Year Plan, gross industrial output went up by $27.2 \%$; in 1958, the planning target ( $10.6 \%$ ) was exceeded (11. $8 \%$ ). The main feature of the present stage of industrial development in Bulgaria is the rapid growth in the production of producer goods, which accounted for $53 \%$ of overall output in 1967 as against $47.2 \%$ in 1960. Priority in the allocation of investment credits also enabled power production, metallurgy and the engineering and chemical industries to expand rapidly. The lest two branches in particular appreciably increased their share in industrial output between 1960 and 1968; this rose from $12.4 \%$ to $19.1 \%$ in the case of engineering, and from $3.7 \%$ to $6.1 \%$ in the case of the chemical industry, while the food industry now only accounts for $27.5 \%$ of the total as compared with $33.5 \%$ at the start of the previous decade.
60. In 1969, the rate of growth of industrial output (9.9\%) for the first time fell short of the planning target (11. $8 \%$ ). For the first time too, the output of consumer goods (11\%) rose faster then that of capital equipment (9.2\%).
61. Production of electric power soared again from 13.63 milliard kwh in 1967 to 15.45 milliard kwh in 1968 and 17.23 milliard kwh in 1969. Since the development of netional sources of powor has proved costly, investments in this sector have been cut back and energy will be purchased from the Soviet Union. A new high tension line between the VItara and the Dobruja was to be built in 1969 to provide 1.5 milliard kwh per annum to begin with and 3 milliard kwh later.
62. Oil extraction has been dwindling steadily since 1967, falling from 499,000 tons in that year to 475,000 tons in 1968 (instead of the 700,000 tons provided for in the Plan) and to only 325,000 tons in 1969. Bulgaria has concluded a long-term agreoment with the Soviet Union which provides for annual deliverice of 5.5 million tons now, rising to 10 million tons in 1975, sufficient to cover practically all requirements: The result of drilling in the Black Sea has been disappointing; deposits being too deep to be worked economically. A gas pipeline capable of corrying 10 milliard cu.m will be built between Ilyin, the Soviet port on the Danube, and Sofia, via Rumania, before the end of 1975. An oil pipeline with a capacity of 60,000 tons is also planned. The total value of the two pipelines is estimated at $\$ 70$ million.
63. At a plenary meeting of the Central Committee in November 1969, it was decided to make sweeping changes in the national energy pattern, which will henceforward be based on oil, natural gas and atomic energy, with a view to preparing the integration of the Bulgarian and Soviet economies. Construction of in atomic power station started last Octobcr. The two reactors, with a capacity of 440 kwh each, will stari to operate in 1974 and 1975 respectively. About $50 \%$ of the additional energy produced between 1976 and 1980 will be from this source.
64. Output from the metallurgical plants at Kremikovtzi and Pernik has expanded in recent years, rising from $1,239,000$ tons of crude steel in 1967 to $1,461,000$ tons in 1968 and 1,515,000 in 1969. Reports of the construction of a third metallurgical complex on the Black Sea seem hardly plausible in view of the agreement reached with the Soviet Union in May 1969 for the construction of an iron and steel complex with Bulgarian participation.
65. The chemical industry continues to forge ahead. Investments have been channelled primarily into the production of man-made fibres, plastics and chemical fertilisers. A first artificial fibre factory with a capacity of 12,000 tons came on stream at Yembal at the end of 1968, a second (9,000 tons) has just come into operation, and a third (4,000 tons) at Vidin is planned for 1970. This year, too, cellulose ( 50,000 tons) will be produced at Svishtov. Three million tons of crude oil were processed by the petrochemical industry in 1969. A tyre factory at Vidin is expected to be working at full capacity in 1970 (1-2 million) and output of artificial fertiliser will total 733,000 tons.
66. The engineering industry's share of total exports which stood at $27 \%$ in 1969 should, according to forecasts, rise to $40 \%$ in 1970, and 122 new factories are due to start up before the end of the year. The production of new cers is nelang good progress, and production end assembly capacity should be sufficient for 60,000 cars in 1975. In 1969, 10,000 ton cargo vessels were quilt in the Varne shipyerds, and efter 1970 vesselis of 35,000 tons, then 75,000 tons, and finally 90,000 tons will be built. Within the framework of COMECON, Bulgaria specialises in the production of trensport vehicles, electric hoists, tractors (particularly for vinegrowing), pumps and machinery for the food industry.
67. The development of the merchant navy during these last years has been particularly striking: the number of merchant ships has risen from 33 in 1960 to 56 in 1966 and to 108 in 1968. The Bulgarian merchant fleet has now passed the 700,000 ton level. Its tonnage rose sherply between 1966 and 1967 ( 346,640 to 748,010 tons).

## Trade

68. Turnover in retail trade rose by $8 \%$ in 1968 and $7.9 \%$ in 1969. As in previous years, consumer durables, particularly electric domestic appliances, were among the fastest selling items. Demend for clothing and textiles was also very strong, and orders for cars rose steadily. Furthermore, industrial services to the population, such as the repair and maintenance of domestic appliances and vehicles, continued to expand. However, the type and quality of goods offered did not always correspond to demand, end this was reflected to some extent in the growth of savings deposits ( $19.8 \%$ in 1967 , $11 \%$ in 1968). The 1970 plan provides for an increase of $7.7 \%$ in retail sales including services.
D. Public finances
69. Bulgaria:s budgetary expenditure rose from 4,427 million leve in 1968 (which represents an increase of $9.2 \%$ ) to 5,041 million in 1969, a further increase of $13.8 \%$. Of this total, 2,632 million leva (approximately $52 \%$ ) were earmarked for the national economy and 1,451 million ( $29 \%$ ) for social and oultural purposes. Receipts were expected to total 5,052 million leva in 1969, of which 3,870 million ( $77 \%$ ) were to come from the national economy, including 1,869 million from turnover tax and 1,464 million from industrial profits. Taxes poid by the population were to yield 312 million leva. The expenditure forecast for 1970 totels 5,225 million leva; this is only $3.6 \%$ more than last year, and the economy will absorb $49.6 \%$ of this amount.
70. Defence expenditure in absolute values rose by $8.1 \%$ in 1968, $14.4 \%$ in 1969, and $7.3 \%$ in 1970, but its share of the State budget has remained steady: $6 \%$ in 1968 and 1969 and $6.2 \%$ in 1970. It is the practice in Communist countries to omit from the official budget figures certain items of expenditure normally regarded in the West as being related to defence. Conscquently, variations in the official budget do not necessarily reflect a corresponding variation in actual military expenditure. However, in the case of Bulgaria, the portion of military expenditure not included in the defence budget is probably not very large in relation to overall military expenditure, although it may have varied slightly over the years. Toking account of the expenditures hidden in other budget itcms, Bulgaria's real defence effort was put at $3-3 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of GNP (iactor cost) according to the estimates of the experts who met at INATO in 1968. There seems to have been no change in this respect since that time.
III. EXTERNAL ECOHOMIC RELATIONS
A. Forcign trade"

## General

71. The economic expansion of Bulgaria, which is a smell country with limited resources and a restricted domestic market, is more heavily dependent on foreign trade than thet of any other East European country. Exports represent $22 \%$ and imports $22.8 \%$ of the net material product, as compared with $9.9 \%$ and $10.3 \%$ respectively in the case of Rumania. The volume of trade, which had been increasing by an annual average of $15 \%^{\circ}$ during the early sixties, is now rising less rapidly (il. $2 \%$ in 1968) but, nevortheless, more quickly than the national income. Communist countries accounted for $79.4 \%$ of Bulgarien trade in 1969, and the USSR alone for 53.5\%. Exports to non-Communist countries were more disappointing; the share of the industriclised countries of the West fell back to $15-16 \%$ in 1969, but the volume of trade with developing countries rose ( 5.6 to $6.6 \%$ ).
72. Bulgaria has had an adverse balance of trade since 1959, both with the USSR and the other members of COMECON and with the industrialised countries of the West. The deficit is partly offsct by the growing income from the tourist industry. The number of foreign visitors has doubled since 1965, and totalled 2,131,000 in 1969. However, the official statistics include all foreigners entering the country, even if they are only in transit. The influx of Western tourists cannot fail to have a psychological effect on the Bulgarion population. At the same time, tourists bring in an appreciable quantity of hard currencies and must be encourcged for this reason. The difficult problem of how to reconcile these two conflicting considerations has been frequently discussed in the Prety.
73. Two fundamental trends have appeared in the development of Bulgaria's foreign trade: the diversification of trading partners and the improvement in the pattern of trade.

## Geogrophical breakdown

74. The OOHBCON countries account for almost $80 \%$ of Bulgaria's forefgn trade. They supply $100 \%$ of its sulphur, potassium, fertiliser, metallurgical coke, copper and aluminium imports, more than $95 \%$ of its oil and pig iron imports and about $80 \%$ of its cellulose and sheet metal purchases. Within the CONECON, Bulgaria specialises in the production of 240 types of machines; it provides over $95 \%$ of the electric hoists and trolleys imported by its socialist partners.
75. The Soviet Union is by far the most important of Bulgaria's trading partners. Its deliveries of raw materials and equipment are or vital importance for industrialisation and it offers a practically unlimited outlet for a good many products which would be difficult to sell on Western markets. In 1969, 53. $5 \%$ of Bulgaria's trade was with the USSR, and this proportion is oxpected to rise to $60 \%$ in 1970 and $70 \%$ in the midale of the next decade. The Soviet Union is followed by the Soviet-occupied Zone of Germany and then by Czechoslovakia.
76. Up to 1960, the share of Western industrialised countries in Bulgaria's foreign trade was practically negligible. During the period 1961-1968, however, it rose at an annual average rate of $19.6 \%$ (as compared with $12.8 \%$ for overall trade) and in 1968 it represented $18.2 \%$ of the total (17.1\% of exports and $19.2 \%$ of imports). Half of this trade is with the Common Market countries and $25 \%$ with the EFTA countries. In 1968, Bulgaria's main trading partners were Germany, Italy and France, followed by Austria and the Unjtod Kingdom. Italy moved up to first place in 1969, and France dropped back to fifth. The chief problem in trade with the


West stems from the unfavourable pattern of Bulgarian exports, machinery and equipment accounting for only $1.8 \%$ and agricultural produce representing 60\%. Bulgaria has an extremely adverse trade balance with the West. In order to limit its indebtedness and also because the Common Market's restrictive policy acts as an effective brake on sales of Bulgarian agricultural produce, Bulgaria greatly reduced its imports from Western countries in 1969, whose share of its foreign trade fell to $15 \%$ or $16 \%$.
77. Bulgarien transactions with the developing countries only account for $5.6 \%$ of its foreign trade; between 1960 and 1968, these exports rose from 3.5\% to $6.2 \%$ of the total and imports from 2.4 to $4.9 \%$. In 1968, over half (53\%) of this trade was with the Arab countries, headed by the UAR. Bulgaria provides these countries with economic aid in the form of long-term credits (generally for ten years) at $2.5 \%$ interest, in addition to short-term, interest-free loans (six to twelve months) which are allowed by the clearing system. The undcr-developed countries provide Bulgaria with outlets for its industrial production, which is not corpetitive on world markets, and supply it in exchange with the raw materials it nceds. In 1968, machinery and equipment represented $18,3 \%$ of exports and chemicals $17 \%$.

## Comrodity pattern

78. Over the past decade and as the economy has developed, the pattern of Bulgarian foreign trade has been radically changing. The share of industrial products in exports rose from $32 \%$ in 1960 to $46 \%$ in 1969, whereas the share of agricultural products fell from $54 \%$ to $45.2 \%$. While sales of machinery and equipment only accounted for $27.1 \%$ of the total in 1960, they have subsequently risen twice as fast as overall exports and will account for $36 \%$ in 1970. Diversification has not been uniform, however, and has been restricted primarily to inter-COMECON relations. Foodstuffs still account for 75; of exports to the industrialised West.
79. As regards imports, they consist mainly of capital goods, machinery and complete plants, as well as raw materials and fuels, and chemical and pharmaceutical products. In 1969, means of production accounted for $86.1 \%$ of all inports, 40.9; of this being cquipment and $45.2 \%$ raw materials and semifinished products.

## Recent trends (1969-1970)

80. Foreign trade rose by $4 \%$ in 1969, with imports ialling by $1.5 \%$ and exports rising by $11 \%$. There was a surplus on trado account for the first time in many years. INo figures are yet available to show the geographical breakdown of trade, but it emerges from what information has beca published that the share of the industrialised countries of
the West has follen from $18.2 \%$ in 1968 to 15 or $16 \%$. These results confirm the trend towards the reduction of imports from the West, which appeared in 1967 and which can be explained by balance of payments difficulties and the fact that a small country like Bulgaria cannot afford a chronic deficit.


#### Abstract

81. The 1.970 Plan is interesting in more than one respect. Forefgn trade should represent only 4,076 million leva, this figure bcing a little lower than the amount forecast for 1969 ( 4,154 million leva); it will, therefore, have increased by $48 ;$, by comparison with 1965, so that there would seem to be no hope of reaching the Five-Year Plan target of a $65 \%$ increase. Furthermore, the socialist countries share Will rise to $87 ;$ (with the USSR alone accounting for $60 \%$ ) while trade with the so-called capitalist countries will fall to $13 \%$ of the total. This new trend could be due to Soviet pressure, but might equally well be the consequence of recent accusations agcinst certain foreign trade enterprises because of their transactions with the West.


B. Co-opcrotion with COMECON countries
82. The steady expansion of Bulgarian industry is due primarily to deliveries of capital equipment from the Soviet Union. Credits from the latter ( 1.8 milliard roubles to dato) hove made it possible to build 160 factories, representing $75 \%$ of the ferrous notal industry, $95 \%$ of the coal and steel industry, $55 \%$ of the chemical industry, and $75 \%$ of the clectrical power stations. At the present time, over 50 industrial plants are being built in Bulgaria by the Soviet Union, which is believed to have promised further credits amounting to 500 million roubles for the next five-year plan. A series of cgreements were signed in Moscow in May 1969 covering the period 1971-1975 and providing for deliveries of Soviet oil, petroleum products and natural gas, the laying of a gas pipeline connccting the USSR with Bulgaria via Rumania, the construction of metallurgical plants in the USSR, and the delivery to Bulgarla of pig iron and steel, the construction of c cellulose and paper combine in Archangel and the delivery of cellulose to Bulgaria, together with specialisation in the production of clectronic equipment and computers. These agreements will inevitably make the Bulgarian economy even more dependent on the Soviet Union.
83. Bulgaria has greatly benefitted from its membership of CONBCON, some countries of which (Czechoslovakia, the Soviotoccupied Zone of Gormany and Poland) have also provided aubstantial aid. It has further benefitted from various specialisation cgrcements; particularly in the engineering industries. This is why Bulgaria, unlike other member countrics, fis not opposed to co-operation and closer integration within GOAECON. Thus, in September 1969, a decision was taken for joint planning in certain economic sectors between Bulgaria and Ge Soviet Union. A similar agreement with Poland was announced I. st February. These arrangements might be the first step towards the estciolishment of supra-national planning within the framework of COMECON.
$\therefore$ TO UNCLASSIFIED
C. Co-opercitions with Western countries
84. The Bulgarion leaders are well aware that they need the aid of the modern equipment and sophisticated technology of the West if productivity is to improve and if Bulgarian monufactures are to become more competitive on the world market. However, Bulgaria's heavy indebtedness in convertiblc currencies is a hindrance to the expansion of trade with the Fest. They have accordingly devised forms of co-operation jnviting aid from foreign firms (contribution of technical kownow, barter agreements, marketing of Bulgarian exports) without giving them a say in management. They would offer the additional adventage of attracting very little political cttention. Nevertheless, such agreements as have been reached so far with Westorn countries have been limited in scope. Even the procuroment of licences leads to difficulties in respect of quality control and the training of personnel. The possibility of creating joint enterprises is currently being discussed. In particular, negotiations are in progress with Germany on a project in which costs and responsibilities would be shared equally. This project would consist of the joint procurement of licences, machinery and raw materials and rould provide Bulgarian industry with the benefits of noderm monagement techniques. There would be no legal obstocle to such a project since the Bulgarian constitution allows for the private ownership of the means of production, but it would be difficult to carry it through on account of ideological considerations.
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|  | 1960 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population totale (milliers) | 7.905:5 | 8.230,8 | 8.285,3 | 8.335,1 | 8.4.04 | 8.472 | Total population (thousand) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Population en âge de } \\ & \text { travailler (milliers) (I) } \end{aligned}$ | n.d. | 4.788 | 4.835 | 4.856 | 4.901 | n.d. | Population in working age (thousand)(1) |
| Urbaine " | n.d. | 2.381 | 2.475 | 2.561 | 2.651 | n. $\mathrm{d}_{\text {. }}$ | Urban |
| Rurale " | n.d. | 2.407 | 2.360 | 2.295 | 2.249 | n. $\mathrm{d}_{\text {。 }}$ | Rural |
| Taux de natalité | 17,8 | 15,3 | 14,9 | 15,0 | 16,9 | 17,3 | Birth rate |
| Taux de mortalité | 8,1 | 8,1 | 8,3 | 9,0 | 8,6 | n. ${ }^{\text {d }}$. | Death rate |
| Croissance naturelle | 9,7 | 7,2 | 6,6 | 6,0 | 8,3 | n. d. | Natural increase |

(1) Hommes de 16 à 59 ans , fermes de 16 à 54 ans

そ
$\frac{\text { EVOLUTION DE I PMPLOI (chiffres en milliers de personnes employees) }}{\text { EMPLOYNTITRENDS (in thousarids of persons employed). }}$

\section*{| 1967 | 1968 |
| :--- | :--- |}
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द

Revenue
of which Economy
Expenditure
of which Economy
Cultural and social
sectors
Defence
Surplus or deficit

Source: Statistiques officielles
ofifcial statistics

PRODUCTION INDUSTRIELIE - INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTION

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& 1960 \& 1965 \& 1966 \& 1967 \& 1968 \& 1969 \& 1970
P1an \& <br>
\hline Structute \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Structure <br>
\hline Production industrielle brute (en millions do leva) \& 47 \& 8.581 \& 9.628 \& 10.916

53 \& 12.050
53.0 \& 13.133 \& 14.211 \& Gross industrial production (million leva) <br>
\hline Part de l'industrie des biens de production (\%) \& 47,2 \& 52,3 \& 52,6 \& 53,0 \& 53,0 \& 53,1 \& n.d. \& Share of the producer goods industry (\%) <br>
\hline Part de l'industrie des biens de consommation (\%) \& 52,8 \& 47.7 \& 47.4 \& 47,0 \& 47,0 \& 46,9 \& n.d. \& Share of the consumer goods industry (\%) <br>
\hline Croissance \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Growth <br>
\hline Production totale (\%) \& 13 \& 14,5 \& 12,4 \& 13.5 \& 10,4 \& 9,9 \& 8,2 \& Total production (\%) <br>
\hline Industrie des biens de production (\%) \& 19 \& 17,1 \& 12,8 \& 14.5 \& 10,5 \& 9,2 \& n.d. \& Producer goods industry (\%) <br>
\hline Industrie des biens de consommation (\%) \& 8 \& 12,3 \& 11,6 \& 10,5 \& 9,7 \& 11,0 \& nod. \& Consumer goods industry (\%) <br>
\hline Repartition sectorielle \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& Distribution by sectors <br>
\hline Encrgio (\%) \& 4,8 \& 6,1 \& 6,1 \& 6,1 \& n.d. \& n.d. \& n.d. \& Energy (\%) <br>
\hline Mótollurgie (\%) \& 5,5. \& 6,6 \& 6,3 \& 6,4 \& n.d. \& n.d. \& n.d. \& Metallurgy (\%) <br>
\hline Industric mécanique (\%) \& 12,4** \& 16,5 \& 17,8 \& 18,97 \& 19,1 \& n.d. \& 20,0 \& Machine-building (\%) <br>
\hline Industric chimique (\%) \& 3.7: \& 4,7 \& 5,0 \& 5,4 \& 6,1 \& n.d. \& 10,8 \& Chemical industry (\%) <br>
\hline Industrie alimentaire (\%) \& 33,51 \& 31,9 \& 30,3 \& 28,9 \& 27,5 \& n.d. \& n.d. \& Food industry (\%) <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



|  | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Procuction Energetfque (milliards dee $\mathrm{kli} / \mathrm{h}$ | 10,2 | 11,76 | 13,63 | 15,45 | 17,23 | Power production (milliard kil/h) |
| Houille (millions de tonnes) | 26,3 | 26,5 | 28,8 | 30,9 | 31,2 | Coal (million tons) |
| Gaz neturel (millions de $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 73 | 109 | 329 | 506 |  | Natural gas (million cu.m) |
| Extraction de pCtrole ( 1,000 tonnes). | 229 | 404 | 499 | 475 | 325 | Petrolcum ( 1,000 tons) |
| Fonte (1,000 tonnes) | 695 | 903 | 1.028 | 1.109 | 1.134 | Pig iron ( 1,000 tons) |
| Acier brut (1,000 tonnes) | 588 | 699 | 1.239 | 1.461 | 1.515 | Crude stecl ( 1,000 tons) |
| Ciment (millions de tonnes) | 2,68 | 2,85 | 3,36 | 3,5] | 3,55 | Cement (million tons) |
| Matieros synthetiques ( 1,000 tonnes) | 33,2 | 39,6 | 44,0 | 58,6 |  | Synthetic materials (1,000 tons) |
| Papion (1,000 tomnes) | 85 | 133 | 151 | 187 | 192 | Paper ( 1,000 tons) |
| Tractours (unites) | 2.800 | 2.052 | 2.341 | 2.961 |  | Tractors (units) |
| Accumulateurs finilitions) | 2,27 | 2,43 | 2,54 | 1,26 |  | Accumulators (million units) |
| Moteurs Eloctriquos (milliers) | 497 | 586 | 745 | 773 | 751 | Electric motors ( 1,000 units) |
| Postes IV (milliers) | 74,2 | 89,5 | 130 | 153 | 174 | Television sets ( 1,000 units) |
| Machines a lever (milliers) | 89,0 | 125,0 | 162 | 134 |  | Washing mechines ( 1,000 units) |
| Palens 6loctrigucs (milliers) | 18,0 | 23,7. | 27,9 | 35,4. | 42,5 | Industriol electric tractors (1,000 units) |

$\frac{\text { PRODUCTION DES PRINCIPAIES CULTURES ET DE L'ELEVAGE ET EFFEGTIFS DU CHEPTEL }}{\text { OUTPUT OF MAJOR CROPS ATD LIVESTOCK PRODUCTS AN LIVESTOCK POPULATION }}$

$\frac{\text { NATO SANS CLASSIFICATION }}{\frac{\text { ANTEXU aut }}{\text { AC } / 127-D / \%} 10.2}$
TABLEAU 10 - TABLE 10
RENDEMENTS MOYENS A L' HECTARE DES PRINCIPAIES QULTURES (EN QUINTAUX)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1961-1965 \\ & \text { (moyenne) } \end{aligned}$ | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ble | 18,1: | 27.9 | 30,5 | 24,0 | 24,5 | Wheat |
| Orge | 20,5 | 25,5 mom | 25,4 | 20,0 | 22,1 | Barley |
| Mais | 25,1 | 33,1 | 34,3 | 31,3 | 40,7 | Maize |
| Pommes de terre | 85,5 | 113 | 106 | 114 | 108:2 | Potatoes |
| Betteraves à sucre | 205,4 | 404 | 342 | 265 | 338,5 | Sugar beets |
| Graines de tournesol | 13,4 | 16,6 | 17.8 | 16,3 | 17,9 | Sunflower seeds |

NATO SANS CIASSIFICATION

TABLEAU 11 - TABLE 11

## PARC DES PRINCIPALES MACHINES AGRICOIES <br> STOCK OF HAJOR AGRICULIURAL MACHINES


-12-

##  <br> CONSOMMATION D'ENGRAIS <br> FERTIIIZER CONTSUMPTION

|  | Consommation d'engrais | 1960 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | Fertilizer Consumption |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tonnes de substance active | 156.464 | 360.722 | $476.8 \ddot{42}$ | 607.079 | n.d. | n.d. | I,000 tons of active substance |
|  | Kilogrammes de substance active par hectare de terre arable | 32,0 | 4 74,8 | 989 | 134 | 175 | 148 | Kilograms of active substance per hectare of arable land |
| Sources: Statistiques officielles - Official Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1960 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | $\begin{aligned} & 1970 \\ & \text { Plan } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indices des prix et salaires (1952-100) |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | Index numbers of prices and wages |
| Salaire nominal des ouvriers et employés | 145 | 172 | 179 | 199 | 211 | n.a. | n.d. | Nominal wages |
| Prix | 71 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 78 | n.d. | n.d. | Prices |
| Salaire réel des ouvriers et employés | 195 | 215 | 225 | 249 | 255 | n.d. | n.d. | Real wages |
| ```Revenu récl des ouvriers et employés``` | 192 | 215 | 234 | 264 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | Real income of workers and employees |
| Revenu réel des paysans Consommation | 170 | 242 | 260 | 277 | n.d. | n.d. | n. 2. | Real income of peasants Consumption |
| Augmentation de chiffre d'affaires du commerce de détail (aux prix courants) | 11,1\% | 7,9\% | 18,6\% | 11,6\% | 7,5\% | 7,9\% | 7,7\% | Increase of retail trade turmover (at current prices) |
| Accroissement des dépôts d'épargne | - | 14, 4\% | 17,6\% | 19,8\% | 11,0\% | n.d. | - | Increase of savings deposits |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction de logements } \\ & \text { (on milliers) } \end{aligned}$ | 49,8 | 4.5.2 | 43, 4 | 42,7 | 42,7 | n.d. | n.d. | Dwelling construction (in thousands) |

[^1] Statistiques officielles - Official statistics Ftude sur Ja situation economique do
Economic Survey of Europe in 1969-ECE - Geneva

Sources:

NATO SANS CLASSIFICATION -14ANIESE $2 u$ AC/127-1) 10.2

TABLEAU 14-TABIE 14
COMAERCE EXTERIEUR BULGARE 1952-1969 BULGARTAN FOREIGN TRADE 1952-1969
en millions de leva devises
millions foreign exchange leva

|  | Total | Importations | Exportations | Solde |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2952 | 385,0 | 185,2 | 199,8 | $+14,6$ |
| 1953 | 475,0 | 234,2 | 240,8 | + 6,6 |
| 1954 | 501,2 | 229,1 | 272,2 | + 43.0 |
| 1955 | 568,6 | 292,4 | 276,4 | - 16,0 |
| 1956 | 647,2 | 293,8 | 353,4. | + 59,6 |
| 1957 | 821,6 | 388,6 | 433,0 | + 44, 4 |
| 1958 | 865,5 | 428,9 | 436,6 | 7,7 $+\quad$ |
| -959 | 1.224, 4 | 677,9 | 546,5 | $-131.4$ |
| 1960 | 1.408,7 | 740;1 | 668,6 | - 71,5 |
| 1961 | 1.554,4 | 779,2 | 775,2 | - 4,0 |
| 1952 | 1.0822:0 | 918,1 | 903:9 | - 14,2 |
| 1963 | 2.067.7 | 1.091,9 | 975,8 | -116,1 |
| 1964. | 2.389,2 | 1.243,0 | 1.146, 2 | - 96,8 |
| 1965 | 2.753,6 | 1.377,9 | 1.375,7 | - 2,2 |
| 1966 | 3.255,5 | 1.729,6 | 1.526,9 | -202,7 |
| 1967 | 3.545,2 | 1.839,1 | 1.706,1 | -133,0 |
| 1958 | 3.975,0 | 2.085,3 | 1.889,7 | -195,6 |
| 1969 | 4.153,9 | 2.046,7 | 2.107,2 | +60,5 |
|  | Total | Imports | Exports | Balance |

INATO SANS CIASSIRICATION
ANIEXE QUA
AC/127-D/7O.? TABLEAU 15-TABLE 15
$\frac{\text { REPARTITION GEOGRAPHIQUE DU CONNERCE EXTERIEUR (en pourcentage) }}{\text { DISTRIBUTION OF FOREIGN TRADE (in percentage) }}$

|  | 1960 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commerce avec des pays socialistes en \% | 83,4 | 82,2 | 77.5 | 76,8 | 72,7 | 75,8 | 77.5 | 79,4 | Trade with socialist countries in $\%$ |
| Commerce avec I'URSS en \% | 53,1 | 53,5 | 53,0 | 51,1 | 4.9,2 | 51,3 | 54,1 | 53.5 | Trade with the USSR in \% |
| Commerce avec les autres pays socialistes en \% | 30,3 | 28,7 | 24,5 | 25,7 | 23:5 | 24,5 | 23,4 | 25,9 | Trade with the other socialist countries in \% |
| Commerce avec l'Occident $^{\prime}$ on \% | 16,6 | 17,8 | 22,5 | 23,2 | 27,3 | 24,2 | 22,5 | 20,6 | Trade with the West in \% |
| Commerce avec les pays industrialisés en \% | 13,7 | 13,6 | 17,6 | 19,8 | 24,3 | 20,3 | 18,3 | 15-16 | Trade with the industrialised countries in \% |
| Commerce avec les pays en voie de développement en \% | 2,9 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3,9 | 4,2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5,6- \\ & 6,6 \end{aligned}$ | Trade with the developing countries in \% |

TABLEAU 16-TABIE 16
PRINCIPAUX PARTENAIRES COMMERCIAUX - 1968
MAIN TRADING PARTNERS - 1968

| IMPORTATIONS BULGARES |  | EXPORTATIONS BULGARES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Union Soviétique Soviet Union | 53,0\% | 1. Union Soviétique Soviet Union | 55,4\% |
| 2. RDA - DRG | 8,4\% | 2. RDA - DRG | 7,5\% |
| 3. Tchécoslovaquie Czechoslovakia | 4,6\% | 3. Tchécoslovaquie - <br> - Czechoslovakia | 5,5\% |
| 4. RFA - FRG | 4,0\% | 4. RFA - FRG | 3,3\% |
| 5. Pologne - Poland | 3,6\% | 5. Polgne - Poland | 3,0\% |
| 6. Italie - Italy | 3,2\% | 6. Italie - Italy | 2,7\% |
| 7. France | 2,8\% | 7. Hongrie - Hungary | 1,8\% |
| 8. Autriche - Austria | 1,8\% | 8. Yougoslavie - Yugoslavia | 1,8\% |
| 9. Yougoslavie Yugoslavia | 1,8\% | 9. Suissc - Switzerland | 1,7\% |
| 10. Hongrie - Hungary | 1, $7 \%$ | 10. Royaume-Uni - UK | 工,6\% |
| 11. Cuba | 1,6\% | 11. Roumanie - Rumania | 1, $6 \%$ |
| 12. Suisse - Switzerland | 1,2\% | 12. Autriche - Austria | I, $3 \%$ |
| 13. Royaume-Uni - UK | 1, 1\% | 13. Cuba | 1, $3 \%$ |
| 14. Roumanie - Rumania | 1,1\% | 14. Grèce - Greece | 1, 2\% |
| 15. Japon - Japan | 0,9\% | 15. France | 1,1\% |
| BULGARIANTN ITMPORTS |  | BULGARIANV EXPORTS |  |



> TABLEATH $17-$ TABLE 17
> COMPOSITION DU COMMTRCE EXTERIEUR PAR GROUPES DE PRODUITS
> COMMODITY CONPOSITION OF FOREIGN TRADE

|  | Exportations " |  |  | Importations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1961 | 1968 | 1969 | 1961 | 1968 | 1969 |  |
| Machines et equipments | 15,5 | 26,4 | 27,1 | 46,7 | 4.4,9 | 40,9 | Machinery and equipment |
| Combustibles, minéraux et métaux | 10,2 | 7,6 | 7,5 | 25,2 | 24.1 | 27,1 | Fucls, minerals and metals |
| Produits chimiques, engrais et caoutchouc | 2,6 | 3,3 | 3,1 | 6,5 | 8,7 | 7,5 | Chemicals, fertilizers and rubber |
| Matériaux de construction | 0,9 | 1,2 | 1, 1 | 0,8 | 0,7 | 0,7 | Building materials |
| Matières premieres d'origine -gricole | 14,7 | 10,3 | 8,9 | 10,5 | 9,3 | 9,9 | Raw materials of agricultural origin |
| Eétail sur pied | 0,0 | 0,06 | 0,05 | 0.0 | 0,5 | 0,3 | Live animals |
| Qatieros premicres de I.industrie alimentairc | 4.6 | 5,1 | 4,7 | 1,3 | 2,1 | 2,9 | Raw materials for the food industry |
| Biens industriels de consommation | 16,9 | 15,7 | 15,8 | 6,4 | 6,0 | 6,6 | Foodstuff: |
| Prodests alimentairos | 34,6 | 30:4 | 31,6 | 2,6 | 4,4 | 4,1 | Industrial consumer goods |


[^0]:    Deronce budget as of NMP $(1969)=3.3 \%$
    Foreign trode $(1969)$
    Imports (r.o.b.): $2,046.7$ million leva
    Deronce budget as of NMP $(1969)=3.3 \%$
    Foreign trade $(1969)$
    Imports (r.o.b.): $2,046.7$ million
    Imports (r.0.b.): $2,046.7$ million leva Exports (f.0.b.): $2,107.2$ million leva Foreign trade per capita: \$405

    National currency
    Official exchange rate: $\$ 1=1.17$ leva
    Tourist exchange rate: $\$ 1=2$ leva

[^1]:    ève

