CONSEIL DE L'ATLANTIQUE NORD NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

ORIGINAL: FRENCH 30th December, 1975 DOCUMENT C-M(75)81

NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES IN 1974

12th Report by the Economic Committee

Note by the Chairman

The attached paper, prepared on the basis of foreign trade statistics published by the OECD and assembled by the International Staff(1) traces the pattern of NATO countries' trade with the Communist countries in 1974(2), tentatively assesses the volume of such trade in 1975 (statistics for this year are not complete) and provides a few pointers to prospects for East-West trade in 1976. The report proper is supplemented by a statistical annex of tables and graphs.

2. In accordance with the decision of the Economic Committee taken at its meeting on 4th December, 1973(3), the attached report is now submitted to the Council for its information.

(Signed) J. BILLY

NATO, 1110 Brussels.

AC/127-D/516, dated 28th July, 1975, and AC/127-D/520, (1)dated 26th November, 1975 (2) Communist Countries Poland, Hungary, Bulgaria, Rumania, Eastern Europe: Czechoslovakia, and the GDR USSR China Other Communist countries: Albania, Korea, North Vietnam Since trade with this last group of countries only accounts for 1.5% of the overall volume of the NATO countries! trade with the Communist countries it has not been discussed in detail in the present report.

(3) See AC/127-R/488, Item 4 (2)

-2-

<u>C-M(75)81</u>

NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES

SUMMARY

1. NATO country trade turnover with the Communist countries in 1974 (\$31.7 milliard)(1) increased less rapidly than in the previous year (32% as compared with 51% in 1973). The downward trend in trade between the two groups of countries contrasted with the trend in world trade which, in value terms (calculated on the basis of exports), was up by comparison with 1973 (an increase of 47% as against 38%). The rate of increase in trade between the NATO and Communist countries was also lower than the rate of increase in the Allied countries' overall trade (up 36%) but higher than the rate of increase between the Allied countries themselves and between the latter and the other Western member countries of OECD (up 27%).

This slow-down in the growth of trade between NATO 2. and Communist countries is mainly due to a significant drop in the rate of increase of NATO country exports: this in fact fell by half, dropping from 60% in 1973 to 31% in 1974. On the other hand, the contraction in the rate of increase of Communist countries' deliveries, up by 33% in 1974 as compared with 41% a year earlier, was less abrupt. The relative loss of momentum in the development of trade between NATO and Communist countries which was noticeable last year must nonetheless be seen in perspective and against the very considerable increase (+51%) which took place in 1973. A rate of increase of this magnitude would have been hard to maintain in view of the general recession in the West all the more so since it partly reflected special situations (the Soveit Union's need to buy grain in the West to offset the shortfalls in its own harvests).

3. The changes in the patterns of trade as described above affect the NATO countries as a whole but are not the same for the European members of the Alliance on the one hand and the North American members on the other. In 1974, trade between the Communist countries and NATO Europe increased by 37% (exports up by 43% and imports up by 30%) whereas trade between the Communist countries and North America rose by only 6%, the consequence of a 63% increase in imports and an 8% drop in exports.

4. As the following comparison shows, there is a striking difference between the degree of dependence of the Alliance on East/West trade and that of Communist countries on their

$(1)^{-}$					Lows: \$18			
	countr	y exports	and	\$13.7	milliard	for	imports	by these
	countr	ies						

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

- PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

C-M(75)81

-3-

commerce with Allied countries. Trade between the Communist and NATO countries accounts for only a small fraction of the latter's overall trade: 4.3% of exports and 3.1% of imports in 1974. Nonetheless, Eastern markets provide a far from negligible outlet for sales by certain Allied countries(1). On the other hand, NATO countries play a larger part in the geographical breakdown of Communist countries' trade. Judging from GATT and OECD statistics, the USSR and the East European countries as a whole sent 22% of total exports to the Allied countries in 1974 and took 28% of their imports from them. Taking the developed market economies as a whole, the respective percentages work out at 32.5% and 38.5% respectively.

5. Allied country exports to <u>Eastern Europe</u> (\$10.5 milliard) grew almost as steadily as in the previous year (41% as compared with 47%). The rate of increase in sales to the <u>Soviet Union</u> on the other hand (\$4.8 milliard) fell considerably, dropping from 61% in 1973 to 13% in 1974 as a result of the lower level of Soviet grain purchases. The rate of increase in exports to China (2.3 milliard) also dropped dramatically to 29% as compared with 129% in 1973.

6. As regards NATO country imports, purchases from the Soviet Union (4.8 milliard) rose most (48%) while purchases from Eastern Europe (\$7.7 milliard) and China (\$1 milliard) increased more slowly, by 25% and 28% respectively.

7. The increase in the unit price of exports estimated at about 20 to 25% - is likely to have accounted for half the increase in the value of NATO country deliveries to the East European countries and probably for almost all the increase in Allied country sales to China. The real increase in NATO country exports to the USSR is hard to gauge in view of the substantial changes in the pattern of Soviet purchases between 1973 and 1974 following the contraction last year in the relative importance of agricultural produce. However, if North American agricultural sales (which make up the bulk of Allied country deliveries of this type of produce) are excluded, the increase in the volume of Allied country exports to the Soviet Union can be estimated as roughly the same as that of their sales to Eastern Europe, i.e. 15-20%.

8. The impact of price increases was also reflected in NATO imports from Communist countries and in particular from the Soviet Union. The sharp rise in world prices of oil, timber, metals and other raw materials of which the Soviet Union is a major exporter, enabled that country to increase significantly in the case of most of these goods its exports to the Alliance in value terms but probably not in volume.

(1) See Tables I and II

-4-

C-M(75)81

In contrast, China and most East European countries probably had to expand the volume of their exports in order to maintain or increase their export earnings, recession and falling demand in the West constituting a serious impediment to a sharp increase in their export prices.

9. Among the Alliance countries, the Federal Republic of Germany continued to be the leading trading partner, of the Communist countries, accounting for almost 38% of total NATO trade turnover with those countries (36% in 1973). Italy took second place following an extremely sharp increase (65%) in sales, ahead of the United States which had held this position in the previous year. Italy's share of overall trade between the Communist and Allied countries in 1974 came to 12% which is still very much smaller than Germany's share(1).

10. It seems likely that NATO country exports to the Soviet Union will pick up considerably in 1975 with an increase of some 70% over the 1974 figures. The Soviet Union is taking delivery of a steady flow of capital goods and has once again become a large buyer of grain. The rate of increase in sales to Eastern Europe could well drop to between 10% and 15% however(2). Lastly, statistics show that Allied country exports to China dropped by 11% during the first half of the year. This trend is likely to continue for the rest of the year and could be an indication that the Chinese leadership wishes to limit its trade deficit with most Western countries as well as of a lesser dependence on imported agricultural produce.

11. The weakness - and in some cases the decline - of domestic demand in the NATO countries has inevitably had repercussions on the growth of their imports from the Communist countries, be they the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe or China. Purchases in 1975 will probably not increase at all or else very slowly at about 5% which is in line with the expected increase of overall imports by the industrialised market economy countries. In any case, there will certainly be a drop in the volume of NATO country purchases from the Communist countries.

12. This being so, and in view of the trends which appeared during the first half of the year, a large surplus can probably be expected in the balance of Allied countries' trade with the USSR; this could be near the \$4 milliard mark

- (1) The value of German exports to Communist countries in 1974 was \$7.5 milliard as compared with Italian sales of \$1.8 milliard. The corresponding figures for imports were \$4.5 and \$2 milliard respectively.
- (2) The method of calculation of these rates of increase is described in paragraph 42.

-5-

<u>C-M(75)81</u>

as compared with only \$4 million in 1974(1). It is more difficult to assess the surplus in trade with the East European countries given their tendency to cut back on purchases payable in hard currencies; this could be between \$3 and \$3.5 milliard (as compared with \$2.8 milliard in 1974) and China's trade gap with the NATO countries could close a little, from \$1.3 milliard last year to between \$0.9 and \$1 milliard in 1975. It can therefore be expected that the surplus in Allied countries' trade with the Communist countries will go up from \$4.3 milliard in 1974 to about \$8 milliard this year, whereas the Communist countries' trade deficit with industrialised member countries of the OECD could rise to \$10 milliard in 1975 (\$5.1 milliard in 1974).

13. Any forecast of the way in which trade relations between the Allied and the Communist countries will develop in 1976 is made difficult by the uncertain outlook with regard both to growth in the Western economies and to the increase in international trade. This being so, an accurate assessment cannot be attempted. It seems likely however that Allied country exports will continue to rise at a steady rate in view of Soviet orders still outstanding and of the credit facilities granted by the Western countries. On the other hand, the growth of sales to Eastern Europe may be hampered by the application of the new COMECON trading conditions and by the size of the trade deficit vis-à-vis Allied countries. Oil exports could allow China to increase its currency holdings and hence its imports, but it seems that the main beneficiary of this increase would be Japan which is gaining ground in the Chinese market.

14. The rate of increase of NATO country imports from the Communist countries will largely depend on economic developments in the former countries. The OECD has however worked out a series of projections which point to a possible modest increase in real terms (4%) in Western country imports in 1976. This is an overall figure and its application to purchases from individual Communist countries could be risky; it is worth pointing out however that the Eastern countries could benefit from a small revival in Western demand given the categories of goods into which their sales fall. The bulk of these are made up of basic commodities, energy, intermediate goods and certain consumer items. This export structure could be an advantage

 This 1974 surplus for the NATO countries should be compared with the Soviet Union's surplus for the same year in its trade with OECD countries which are not members of the Alliance (\$876 million, including \$485 million with Finland).

-6-

C-M(75)81

to the Communist countries on the assumption that expected growth in the developed market economies in 1976 will be based primarily on an increase in private consumption and in stockbuilding(1).

I.___TRADE DEVELOPMENTS IN 1974

15. In 1974 NATO country trade with Communist countries expanded much less rapidly than in 1973: by 31.9% compared to 51.3% in 1973. On the other hand, NATO country trade with the world did grow slightly faster (35.6%). The slowdown in NATO country trade with Communist countries was mainly due to the following factors: firstly North American exports to the USSR dropped by 57% owing to a cutback in Soviet grain purchases; secondly European members of the Alliance which are the principal customers of East European countries, in particular Germany and Italy, increased their purchases much more slowly than in 1973: these grew by 21.8% in 1974 as against 36.2% in 1973.

16. In 1974, there was no change in the Communist countries relative share of NATO countries' exports, which remained at 4.3%. The decline in the North American share (down to 2.2% from 3.3%) was offset by the steady increase in Eastern countries' share in the exports of NATO Europe (5.3% against 4.8% in 1973). The percentage share of Communist countries in total imports by the Alliance members registered a slight decline from 3.2% in 1973 to 3.1% in 1974. These averages, however, should not lead to an under-estimation of the importance of Eastern markets for certain members of the Alliance. In this connection it may be worth noting that in 1974 German sales to the Communist countries represented 8.3% of total exports, this percentage was higher than that for sales to the United States (7.5%) or even to some of Germany's European Community partners such as Italy (8.1%) and Belgium/ Luxembourg (7.6%)(2).

17. In contrast, Communist countries' trade with member countries of the Alliance accounts for a much larger and, with the exception of Soviet purchases, a growing proportion of their overall trade. Figures taken from various statistical sources(3) show that the Soviet Union exported 20% of its world total to the NATO countries in 1974 (as compared with 16% in 1973) and obtained 23% (24% in 1973) of its total imports from those same countries. The corresponding percentages for Eastern Europe are higher: 24% (23% in 1973) and 31% (28% in

Recent forecasts carried out by the OECD show that the rate of increase in the gross domestic product for the seven leading members of the Organization in 1976 could be 4.25%; 2% of this would come from an increase in private consumption and 1.25% from stockbuilding.
 See Tables I and II

 See Tables I and II
 Sources: International trade in 1974/75. GATT Annual Report (1975) and OECD: Foreign Trade Statistics, Series A <u>NATO UNCLASSIFIED</u>

<u>C-M(75)81</u>

-7-

1973) respectively. A point worth noting is that Rumania bought more from the NATO countries (41% of its supplies) than from its COMECON partners (32%) in 1974 and that Poland divided its purchases almost equally between the two groups of countries (42% of its imports came from the other state economy countries and 40% from the Alliance countries).

This increase in the relative proportion of trade 18. with the NATO countries is indicative of a faster increase in the value of such trade not only by comparison with overall Communist country trade but also with intra-COMECON transactions. The latter have been estimated by GATT(1) (on the basis of exports) at \$36.35 milliard in 1974 as against \$32.75 milliard in the previous year, making an increase of 11% compared with 32% in the case of Communist country trade with the Allied The difference between these two rates of increase countries. must not be taken at face value however, since prices within the COMECON remained relatively steady in 1974. This being so. there is absolutely no certainty that the increase in the volume of goods traded within the COMECON last year was less than the real increase in the trade between Eastern and NATO countries.

19. Trade between the European Communist countries and the developed market economy countries as a whole is on a distinctly larger scale. The latter took 34% (26% in 1973) of total Soviet exports in 1974 and 31.5% (28% in 1973) of total East European exports. The corresponding import figures were 36% and 40% respectively (32% and 35% in 1973). Here again, purchases by Poland and Rumania in the West accounted for over half (52% and 51% respectively of their total imports last year(1).

20. NATO countries also accounted, in 1974, for an appreciably smaller proportion of trade between the OECD countries on the one hand and Communist countries on the other. They represented 68% of OECD exports as against 74% in 1973 and 65% of imports as against 68% in the previous year. This trend is general, applying as it does to trade with the USSR, with Eastern Europe and with China. It reflects the fact that trade relations between the Eastern countries and non-NATO members of the OECD have expanded faster than those with the Allied countries(2) mainly as a consequence of the

 Source: GATT Annual Report, 1975.
 Exports by non-NATO members of the OECD to the Communist countries rose from \$4.8 milliard in 1973 to \$8.5 milliard last year, making an increase of 77%. The corresponding import figures were \$4.8 milliard and \$7.5 milliard, making an increase of 56% (Source: Document AC/127-D/519 of 26th November, 1975).

<u>NATO UNCLASSIFIED</u>

-8-

C-M(75)81

resumption of traditional trade links between certain European countries (particularly Finland and Austria) and the Communist economies as well as the rapid build-up in trade between the latter and Japan(1).

(a) Trade Balance

- PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE

21. In 1974, the NATO country trade balance with Communist countries once again showed a sizeable surplus in favour of the Alliance, rising from \$3,391.8 million in 1973 to \$4,298.8 million in 1974. The bulk of the surplus (\$3,029.9 million) accrued to Germany. The United States, after having recorded the largest surplus in the previous year, accumulated the second largest surplus totalling \$1,233.6 million(2).

22. Almost all of the NATO country trade surplus with Communist countries was derived from trade with East European countries (\$2,770 million - up from \$1,283 million in 1973) and with China (\$1,287 million - up from \$988 million in 1973), while the surplus recorded in the previous year with the USSR totalling over \$1 milliard disappeared almost completely, owing to the drop in North America's trade surplus with the Soviet the latter fell from \$1,245 million in 1973 to \$265 Union: million in 1974, which in turn was evened out by the trade deficit of some \$261 million accumulated by European NATO Among the latter countries Germany, however, scored countries. a surplus of the order of \$633 million with the Soviet Union. Germany also accounted for the bulk of the NATO country trade surplus with East European countries. Almost half of the German export surplus, totalling \$2,097 million, accrued from trade with Poland (\$849 million). In trade with China, however, the United States accumulated the largest surplus, amounting to some \$692.8 million.

(b) The Growth of Trade in 1974

(i) Exports

23. In 1974, the expansion rate for NATO country exports to all Communist countries was much slower than in 1973 but close to that registered in 1972, amounting to 31.3% compared to 59.7% in 1973. The growth in exports to East European

 Japanese sales to the Communist countries doubled from \$1,953 million in 1973 to \$3,926 million last year. The increase in Japanese imports were less spectacular (37%), totalling \$3,139 million in 1974 compared with \$2,285 million in the previous year (Source: OECD).
 (2) See Table III

C-M(75)81

EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE

I

DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE

countries, however, reached 41%, only six percentage points below the 1973 level, while exports to the Soviet Union increased by only 12.7% as against 61% in 1973. Similarly, the growth rate in exports to China registered a sharp drop, falling from 129% in 1973 to 29% in 1974.

-9-

The percentage changes quoted here refer to changes 24. They do not, in the dollar value of NATO country exports. therefore, measure the increase in the real value of such exports following an increase in their unit value. The sharp fluctuations in Soviet purchases of agricultural produce during 1973 and 1974 have undoubtedly influenced the make-up by broad categories of Soviet imports. This being so, an evaluation of the increase in the unit value of sales to the Soviet Union by NATO countries as a whole can only be significant if the special case of North American agricultural sales (which make up the bulk of Allied country agricultural supplies) is disregarded. When this is done, the rate of growth of NATO country exports to the Soviet Union in 1974 then becomes 43% in value terms which is practically the same as the growth rate of sales by those same countries to Eastern Europe (41%). It can therefore be concluded that inflation has added 20% to 25% of the unit value of exports by the industrialized Allied countries(1) and that therefore the growth in the volume of sales has been about 15% to 20%. Over the period, moreover, the fluctuations of the dollar, vis-à-vis NATO Europe currencies, played only a minor rôle in the rise of the dollar value of trade.

25. The real growth in NATO exports to China, however, was probably much smaller, possibly no more than 10%; that of the United States must have contracted since export prices of agricultural products in the United States rose faster than the 17% increase registered in that country's exports - mainly foodstuffs - to China. The growth rate of exports from Canada (+55%) practically kept pace with the rise recorded in the prices of that country's agricultural exports and therefore, volume-wise, exports remained practically unchanged.

(1) NATO exports to East European countries and to the Soviet Union comprise mainly machinery and equipment. The price increase in German exports, which account for 49% and 38% repsectively, of total NATO sales to those areas registered probably no more than an increase of 10-15% in prices. The rate of increase of export prices of other Alliance members, however, was much higher, ranging from 20% to 30%. The average price increase, therefore, may well have amounted to 20-25% in 1974. (Sources: OECD Statistics, June 1975, "Trade Indices", pages 22-23)

-10-

C-M(75)81

(ii) Imports

26. In 1974, NATO imports from Communist markets grew, in value, at almost the same rate (33%) as exports to those markets. The expansion in the volume of imports, however, may well have lagged behind that of exports owing mainly to the sharp-price increase recorded in Soviet oil and other exports. In the case of Eastern countries it is more difficult to measure the effect of inflation on exports. It nevertheless may well be assumed that Eastern countries' export prices follow the world price trends.

27. The bulk of the 48% growth recorded in NATO imports from the USSR must indeed be attributed to the sharp rise in oil prices. This has made it possible for the USSR to reduce sales of oil to non-Communist countries - and therefore possibly to Allied countries - without curtailing its hard currency earnings.

28. NATO country purchases in Eastern Europe registered an increase of 25% in value terms. Purchases of the European Allies grew by 22% whereas those of the United States rose by 77%, or twice as fast as in 1973. United States purchases in Hungary and Rumania, starting from a much smaller base, grew even faster, 358% and 134% respectively. In contrast, among Hungary's major customers in the Alliance, Germany increased its purchases by only 10% while Italy cut down its imports by 15%. The 7% value growth registered in imports of the European Allies from Hungary, therefore, reflects a decline in volume which is bound to have adverse implications for Hungary's future procurements in the West.

29. The 16% and 5% growth in dollar value registered in NATO imports from Czechoslovakia and Bulgaria respectively (compared to 33% and 36% recorded in 1973) would also suggest that growth in the volume of imports was either negligible or negative.

30. Rumania managed to increase its sales to the Alliance as a whole slightly faster (39%) than in 1973 (35%) owing to a more than twofold rise in North American purchases, mainly of oil and petroleum products. Purchases of the European Allies recorded almost as rapid a growth (32%) as in 1973 (33%), owing to the sharp rise in the purchases of Rumania's less important trading partners such as Turkey, the Netherlands, Denmark and Belgium: their purchases rose in value by 885%, 82%, 136%, and 94%, respectively. Such growth in value implies a definite expansion in the volume of imports as well as a sharp increase in the price of oil and petroleum.

C-M(75)81

-11-

While the North American Allies increased their 31. purchases in Poland by as much as 47% compared to 31% in 1973, those of the European member countries grew by only 24% as against 43% in the previous year. In some cases a sizeable decline in the rate of growth has been recorded: from 31% in 1973 to 3% in the case of Italy, from 51% to 19% in that of Germany and from 31% to 11% in that of the United Kingdom.

NATO imports from the GDR grew almost as rapidly 32. (30%) in value as in 1973, although the volume growth was smaller than that of 1973 owing to inflation.

Growth rate in NATO imports from China declined 33. from 45% in 1973 to 28% in 1974. North American imports, however, rose by 51%. The growth rates in the purchases of Germany and France declined by 12 and 17 percentage points respectively, while Italy cut down its imports by 9%. Ch sales to the United Kingdom and the Netherlands, however, China's continued to grow at the same pace (33% and 47% respectively) as in the previous year. China's sales in volume too may well have grown considerably, since world prices for the type of many commodities that it exports have fallen in 1974. This development may explain the more cautious approach adopted by the Chinese towards their purchases abroad.

Trade with Eastern Europe: Major suppliers and (c) customers

The bulk of NATO country exports to all Communist 34. countries, totalling \$17,986.8 million, once again went to East European countries, whose purchases in the Alliance reached \$10,501 million. Germany again supplied nearly one half (\$5,124 million) of total exports. Italy, expanding i Italy, expanding its sales by as much as 63%, raised its share of the market to almost one-tenth. A much smaller increase (29.9%) of exports (\$950.5 million) from France has resulted in a slight decrease of that country's share in the market (from 9.8% to 9.1%). Despite a sharp contraction in the growth rate of North American sales (39%), their share of the market, nevertheless, remained almost unchanged (9.1%).

Germany and Italy, in that order, remained the 35. principal customers of Eastern Europe, with imports totalling \$3,027 million and \$1,084 million, respectively. These two countries together thus accounted for over one-half of total NATO country imports (\$7,731 million) from Eastern Europe.

36. In Eastern Europe, Poland remained the leading customer with purchases totalling \$3,517.4 million, but is no longer the country with the most rapidly expanding market for NATO country deliveries. While NATO country exports to Poland

> UNCLASSIFIED NATO

-12-

C-M(75)81

increased by 39.7% in 1974 compared to 97.8% in 1973, sales to some of the smaller trading partners, such as Bulgaria and Hungary, recorded a growth rate of 75% and 53% respectively - a remarkable increase especially considering that their sales to the Alliance members grew by only 5% and 14% respectively.
They therefore had to face a marked deterioration of their trade deficit with NATO countries, which reached over \$300 million for the first time in many years. Similarly, NATO country imports from other East European countries showed declining rates of growth in 1974 compared to those registered in 1973. The only exception were imports from Rumania, which grew even faster than in 1973 as a result of the rise in oil prices.

(d) <u>Trade with the Soviet Union</u>

- PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE

DECLASS IFIED/DECLASSIFIEE

37. The pace of growth (48%) in NATO country imports from the Soviet Union in 1974 remained comparable to the one (52%) recorded in the previous year but the growth rate in NATO country sales to the USSR recorded a sharp decline from 61% in 1973 to 12.7% in 1974 owing to a drastic reduction in North American exports which dropped by 57%. In contrast, European members of the Alliance, recording a 50% growth, managed to expand their sales nearly as fast as in the previous year. Sales from Germany rose by 57% to \$1,856 million. Germany thus supplied a growing share (38%) of total NATO country exports (\$4,830.8 million) to the USSR. Italy, expanding its exports to the Soviet Union twice as fast as in 1973 (by 76%) raised its share of the market to 12.8%.

38. Germany, the United Kingdom, Italy and France, with purchases totalling \$1,222.7 million, \$928.6 million, \$801.6 million and \$587.6 million, respectively, accounted for almost three-quarters of total NATO country imports (\$4,826.6 million). Among them, Italy raised its imports most rapidly - by 81% as against 36% in 1973, while Germany followed with an increase of 71%. Price hikes recorded in Soviet raw material and energy exports accounted for most of this rise. The growth in volume, however, may well have been limited to no more than 10%.

(e) <u>NATO country trade with China</u>

39. NATO country exports to China rose by 29% to some \$2,304.5 million. The United States and Canada, the principal suppliers, accounted for over one half of total NATO exports. Among European Allies, Germany remained the leading supplier with exports totalling \$420.7 million. France managed to raise its share of the market from 5% to 7% by expanding its exports by almost 80% to \$160 million, while the United Kingdom's share dropped by four percentage points to 7% as a result of a 19% decrease in sales.

C-M(75)81

-13-

40. NATO country imports from China, rising by 27.6% in 1974 compared to 44.9% in 1973, reached \$1,017 million. The increase in the purchases of the United States was striking (79%) and raised its share in total NATO imports by three percentage points to 11%. Among European NATO members, Germany and France, with purchases totalling \$192.8 million and \$183.7 million, respectively remained the principal customers. Italy, on the other hand, reducing its imports by 8.9% to \$116.9 million, dropped to fourth place after the United Kingdom.

41. In trade with China, NATO countries once again recorded a sizeable surplus of the order of \$1,287.2 million, or some \$300 million more than in the previous year. As in 1973, the bulk of the trade surplus accrued to the United States (\$692.8 million), Canada (\$384 million) and Germany (\$227.9 million).

II. LIKELY DEVELOPMENTS IN 1975

42. The development of trade relations between NATO countries and the Communist countries is subject to wide seasonal variations which it is difficult to forecast on the basis of previous cyclical movements. Consequently, any attempt to project the results for the whole of 1975 by comparing the trend recorded during the first half of the year with the corresponding period of 1974 entails a certain margin of error which may, however, be reduced by extrapolating the linear trend which emerged during the three six-monthly periods between January 1974 and June 1975. However, the results obtained by either method are only assumptions which can offer a range of values useful for estimating the development of trade in 1975.

(a) Trade with the Soviet Union

43. The application of the projections referred to above to Allied exports to the Soviet Union shows that these exports could rise by as much as between 65% and 75% in 1975, to reach \$8 to 8.5 milliard. Such a rapid rise was to be expected for two reasons. Firstly, over the last few years the value of the orders for machinery and equipment placed by the Soviet Union in NATO countries has been increasing and is estimated to have risen from \$2.9 milliard in 1972 to \$4.9 milliard in 1974(1). A growing proportion of these orders is linked to projects which are paid for by deliveries of goods manufactured with the equipment imported. Orders placed in Italy for such

(1) This figure is based on NATO document AC/127-WP/425 and has been revised in accordance with additional information provided in the United Kingdom Delegation document dated 11th August, 1975.

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

- PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE EN LECTURE PUBLIQUE DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE

-14-

C-M(75)81

projects reportedly amounted to \$2.4 milliard in 1974. Again, this year may see an increase in specific imports designed to enable Soviet planners to implement the programmes of purchases from the West scheduled for the 1971-1975 period.

44. Moreover, it is likely that the resumption of largescale grain purchases by the Soviet Union will increase the sales by member countries of the Alliance to the Soviet Union to over the level estimated above, which is based solely on the trend observed during the last three six-monthly periods. The Russians are reported to have already purchased in North America some 16 million tons of wheat and corn, with an FOB value of about \$2.3 milliard. However, in view of Soviet stockpiling capacity, it is likely that the deliveries will not exceed 7 million tons during the second half of 1975, at a cost to the Soviet Union of \$1 milliard or so. This is an estimated maximum figure, however, and it may well not be reached since grain deliveries, especially from the United States, were lower than expected in July, August and September.

45. In contrast to the rapid growth recorded in the exports of NATO countries to the USSR, their imports from that source will very likely stagnate in 1975; growth in value could be between 0% and 10%, which in real terms represents a drop. This is not surprising considering the weakness and even the decline of domestic demand in the Allied countries and the stagnation or decrease, in comparison with the 1973-1974 peak, of the prices of certain basic commodities which the USSR exports.

46. The surplus accumulated by the NATO countries in their trade with the Soviet Union during the first half of 1975 amounted to \$1.4 milliard. In the light of the assumptions on the development of trade referred to above, and in particular on the grain deliveries, it may continue to rise to a total of \$4 milliard for the whole of the year. The North American members of the Alliance and the Federal Republic of Germany will probably benefit most from this surplus.

(b) Trade with East European Countries

47. Exports of capital goods in execution of past orders should help to sustain the growth in Allied sales to the East European countries in 1975. But the growth rate is likely to show a marked decline from the 1974 figure of 41% and, so far as can be judged at present, could be between 10% and 15%.

48. As is the case with imports from the Soviet Union, the increase in purchases by members of the Alliance from East European countries is likely to drop off steeply this year because of the economic recession in the West. The growth in

<u>NATO UNCLASSIFIED</u>

<u>C-M(75)81</u>

-15-

value is unlikely to be more than 5% (as against 25% in 1974). The trend of NATO countries' purchases from the East thus virtually matches that of total imports by the OECD countries (which rose 6% in value during the first half of 1975).

49. During the first half of 1975, the NATO countries' trade balance with East European countries recorded a surplus of \$2.0 milliard, mainly with Poland (\$827 million). Rumania (\$341 million) and Hungary (\$322 million). The surplus may well reach \$3 to \$3.5 milliard for the whole of the year if the trend observed in the development of trade continues.

(c) Trade with China

Exports by NATO countries to China during the first 50. six months of 1975 dropped in value by 11% compared with the same period in 1974. This decline also tallies with the extrapolation for the whole year of the tendency observed since the beginning of 1974. This reduction in Chinese purchases may reflect a deliberate attempt on the part of the authorities to reduce China's rising trade deficit with members of the Alliance. It could also be that thanks to a good harvest, China's import requirements of agricultural produce are declining. In this connection, exports from North America (which comprise mainly agricultural produce) went down by half during the first six months of the year. On the other hand, it is worth noting that China is rapidly increasing its imports from Japan, which doubled in 1974 and increased by 48% during the first half of the year by comparison with the corresponding period of the previous year(1).

51. The trend of Chinese exports to the NATO countries in 1975 will probably be very similar to that observed in the case of Soviet and East European sales to the same countries. The present trend seems to be towards a very slight rise of between 0% and 5%.

52. In spite of the fall-off in Chinese imports from Allied countries in the first half of the year, China's trade balance with these countries during this period showed a deficit of \$526 million, which is only slightly less than that for the first six months of 1974 (\$675 million). The deficit for 1975 as a whole could well be in the region of \$0.9 milliard to \$1.0 milliard (as against \$1.3 milliard in 1974).

III. PROSPECTS FOR 1976

53. It is difficult to predict how trade will develop between NATO and Communist countries in 1976 because of the number of imponderables concerning Western economic growth, the development of world trade and the incidence of the new Five-Year-Plans (1976-1980) on European Communist countries commerce with the West. However, the momentum of the last few years is

(1) Source: OECD <u>NATO UNCLASSIFIED</u> -15-16-

<u>C-M(75)81</u>

likely to be maintained in the case of some Eastern countries in view of the large volume of orders placed in the West since 1970 and still to be delivered.

54. Sales by NATO countries to the Soviet Union are likely to rise rapidly because of the scale of the orders for capital equipment placed by the Russians, but not yet executed, and of the continuation of Soviet grain purchases in 1976.

In contrast, a decline in the growth of Western sales 55. to the East European countries can be expected. Since there is not much change, at least in the short-term, of these countries increasing their convertible currency earnings, they may be inclined to curb the growth of their imports from the West so as to avoid what, for some of them, could become an unacceptable degree of indebtedness. However, it should be pointed out that the Polish and Romanian leaders have again recently stated their desire to continue to procure in the West large quantities of Moreover, Eastern European countries are making great goods. efforts to extend their sales to Western countries, but the fulfilment of this objective will depend not only on the speed of the recovery of the Western economies but also on other factors discussed in the following paragraph.

56. In 1976, the full effects of the price increases decided for intra-COMECON trade will be felt. The terms of trade in this area will change in favour of the Soviet Union because of the application of the rise in world prices of raw materials to dealings between the COMECON member countries. The East European countries may find themselves obliged to step up their exports to the USSR in order to maintain or increase their purchases of Soviet raw materials. They may even have to send to the USSR, and not the Western countries, part of the exports which earn them their convertible currencies.

57. One indication that NATO country trade may well expand more rapidly with the Soviet Union than with the East European countries is the trend in orders placed by all these countries; in 1975 the Soviet Union continued to place large orders with Western firms for capital goods, and this was not the case for all the East European countries. For the first seven months of 1975, the orders placed in NATO countries by the Soviet Union, Poland, East Germany, Rumania and Czechoslovakia are estimated at \$1.6 milliard, \$835 million, \$270 million, \$60 million and \$3 million respectively.

58. Western credits have undoubtedly played an important part in the expansion of exports of capital goods to Communist countries and in particular to Eastern Europe. Although in the past the latter countries resorted to credits to a larger extent than the Soviet Union, in future they may be more cautious in their approach to purchases on credit. They may prefer to cut back buying on credit, knowing that the stagnation - and even

C-M(75)81

-17-

the decline - of their export earnings may reduce their ability to service debt obligations. Western countries, too, may well hesitate to expand the volume of credits to be extended to some of those countries in view of their precarious external payments situation and the large debts they have already accumulated.

In contrast, the Soviet Union's natural resources and 59. gold reserves represent an asset which might encourage it to increase its borrowings in the West and, thereby, its purchases of Western technology. This development should be reflected, in due course, in NATO countries' trade figures. Western countries, anxious to export as well as to secure access to raw materials, may not hesitate to extend large volumes of credits, hence goods, to the USSR. Over the last few year for instance, France, the United Kingdom, Italy and Canada Over the last few years, have each informed the Soviet Union of the volume of export credits available if that country places orders with their In any event, it is planned that a large exporters(1). proportion of the Soviet debt should be reimbursed through sales of certain goods or raw materials produced with the help of equipment imported on credit from the West (oil, natural gas, coal, timber and the like).

60. The outlook for Allied imports from the European Communist countries will be very similar to that for Western purchases anywhere in the world and will be closely linked with economic conditions; forecasts established recently by the OECD, however, indicate that for 1976 there could be a volume increase of 4% in the total imports of OECD member countries. Furthermore, the Communist countries might well be in a comparatively favourable position in the event of a resumption of Western economic growth inasmuch as such a development would probably result in an increase in private consumption and stockpiling rather than in renewed investment. The commodity pattern of their sales would put these countries at an advantage since they offer mainly basic commodities, intermediate products and consumer goods and not capital equipment.

61. The data available on which to base estimates of 1976 trade development between China and the Western countries remain fragmentary. It would appear that China had exceptionally good harvests in 1975, which may mean that its agricultural imports (which in 1974 accounted for 54% of its purchases from North America) are likely to go down. On the other hand, the Chinese may boost their Western purchases of certain semi-manufactured goods, particularly iron and steel goods, since they are developing the oil industry (hence the requirement for steel piping) and shipbuilding (sheet steel)

(1) In 1973 France offered \$2 milliard; in 1974 the United Kingdom offered \$2.4 milliard; in 1975 Italy offered \$900 million and Canada \$500 million.

-18-

<u>C-M(75)81</u>

With a view to acquiring their own ships to export their oil and thus avoid freight charges. In any case, Chinese imports will depend to a very large extent on how they are paid for. Until now the Chinese have shown great reluctance to accept a large volume of export credits (and the terms on which they would be granted) from Western countries(1). China has growing oil resources and sales of this product to Japan and other South-East Asian countries - particularly the Philippines should provide it with big foreign currency earnings. However, it is possible that, like in 1975, Japan will benefit more than the NATO countries from any increase in Chinese imports.

(1) China has not so far raised any medium or long-term laons on the Euromarket. It has, however, reportedly made some use of short-term rollover credits (some \$400 millions) in the interbank deposit market which has lead some bankers to think that it may shortly become a formal borrower of longer-term loans on the Euromarket. (East/West Trade News, December 11th, 1975, page 6)

<u>NATO UNCLASSIFIED</u>

-1-

ANNEX to C-M(75)81

TABLE I

NATO COUNTRY	EXPORTS	TO	COMMUNIST	COUNTRIES	AS
A PERCE	NTAGE OF	THE,	IR TOTAL	EXPORTS	

	1960	1971	1972	1973	1974
Belgium/Luxembourg	3.7	1.5	1.7	2.4	3.1
Denmark	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.2	3.9
France	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.1
Federal Republic of Germany(1)	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.6	8.3
Greece	22.0	13.0	13.6	11.7	11.6
Iceland	23.1	10.9	12.1	8.7	12.4
Italy	5.8	5.4	4.7	4,8	5.9
Netherlands	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.6
Norway	4.8	2.8	3.7	3.5	4.2
Portugal	2.3	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9
Turkey	12.2	12.3	11.9	10.1	10.6
United Kingdom	3.5	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.1
Total NATO Europe	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.8	5.3
Canada	0.8	2.1	3.1.	2.7	2.0
United States	1.0	0.9	1.8	3.5	2.3
Total NATO North America	0,9	1.2	2.2	3.3	2.2
Total NATO	3.3	3.4	3.7	4.3	4.3

(1) In the case of the Federal Republic of Germany the figures include deliveries to the GDR.

ANNEX to C-M(75)81

-2-

TABLE II

NATO COUNTRY IMPORTS FROM THE COMMUNIST COUNTRIES AS A PERCENTAGE OF THEIR TOTAL IMPORTS

	1960	1971	1972.	1973	1974
Belgium/Luxembourg Denmark France	2.2 4.9 2.8	1.9 3.3 3.1	1.9 3.4 3.1	2.0 3.8 3.1	2.1 4.6 2.9
Federal Republic of Germany(1)	7.1	5.8	5.9	6.2	6.4
Greecc Iceland Italy Netherlands Norway Portugal	7.9 22.7 6.1 2.6 3.4 1.5	5.0 10.2 6.0 1.9 4.2 1.2	5.5 10,4 6.2 2.1 3.2 0.9	5.5 8.9 5.8 2.0 3.0 1.0	4.7 13.5 4.9 2. <u>3</u> 2.8 0.8
Turkey United Kingdom	9.1 3.6	10.4 3.9	10.8 3.8	8,5 3,8	7.1 3.2
Total NATO Europe	<i>l</i> ŗ 。 5	4.1	-4.2	4.2	4.0
Canada (fob) United States (fob)	0.3 0.5	0.7 0.5	0.8 0.6	0.8 0.8	0.8 1.0
Total NATC North America	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.9
Total NATO	3.3	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1

(1) In the case of the Federal Republic of Germany the figures include deliveries by the GDR.

TABLE III TRADE OF NATO COUNTRIES WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES ANNEX to C-M(75)81

· · - -

· --- · · · ----

11(1)(1)	01	TAULTO	COONTICEED	11 7 7 11	00111010	TOT	COONTI	
			4	on L				
			- 10	974 -				
			•.					
					-			

		East Bu	ropean cour	ntries		USSR			China	
	NATO countries	Exports	Imports	Trade Balance	Exports	Imports	Trade Balance	Exports	Imports	Trade Balance
	Eelgium	463.44	300.48	162.96	369 .6 0	270.96	98.64	34.56	46.20	- 11.64
	Denmark	236.52	299.04	- 62.52	42.60	125.16	- 82.56	14.16	29.40	- 15.24
	France	950. 52	739.20	211.32	660.24	587.64	72.60	160.44	183.72	- 23.28
	FRG	5124.23	3027.21	2097.02	1856.04	1222.68	633.36	420.72	192.84	227.88
	Greece	146.76	132.36	14.40	74.28	62.76	11.52	1.92	2.16	- 0.24
	Iceland	17.40	20.52	- 3.12	23.64	49.08	- 25.44	0.12	0.48	- 0.36
	Italy	1030.56	1084.08	- 53.52	618.00	801.60	-183.60	105.00	116.88	- 11.88
	Netherlands	586.68	413.16	173.52	170.64	237.12	- 66.48	62.52	97.08	- 34.56
Ϋ́	Norway	159.00	157.08	1.92	39.96	69.60	- 29.64	66.72	9.60	57.12
	Portugal	17.76	30.84	- 13.08	1.44	2.40	- 0.96	0.60	0.96	- 0.36
	Turkey	68.04	162.36	- 94.32	77.76	95.16	- 17.40	16.68	4.80	. 11.88
	UK	746.76	663.00	83.76	257.28	928.56	-671.28	167.40	156.24	11.16
	Total NATO Europe	9547.67	7029.33	2518.34	4191.48	4452.72	-261. 24	1050.84	840.36	210.48
	Canada	131.76	161.88	- 30.12	30.12	23.64	6.48	446.16	62.16	384.00
	US	821.88	540.00	281.88	609.24	350.28	258.96	807.48	114.72	692.76
	Total NATO N. America	953.64	701.88	251.76	639 .36	373.92	265.44	1253.64	176.88	1076.76
	TOTAL NATO	10501.31	7731.21	2770.10	4830.84	4826.64	4.20	2304.48	1017.24	1287.24

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

ų

>

•

,

.

•

 $\frac{\text{ANNEX to}}{C-M(75)81}$

-4-

TABLE IV

NATO COUNTRIES TRADE WITH COMMUNIST COUNTRIES

<u>1974</u>

.

	Exports (million US \$)	% change over the previous year	Imports (million US \$)	% change over the previous year	Trade balance (million US \$)
Communist countries	17,986.79	+ 31.3	13,688.01	+ 32. 8	+ 4,298.78
USSR	4,830.84	+ 12.7	4,826.64	+ 48.3	+ 4.20
East European countries Poland GDR CSSR Hungary Romania Bulgaria	10,501.31 3,517.44 2,047.31 1,339.68 1,304.76 1,648.32 643.80	+ 40.6 + 39.7 + 30.5 + 27.2 + 52.6 + 49.1 + 75.3	7,731.21 2,160.84 1,883.13 1,125.48 967.56 1,278.12 316.08	+ 25.0 + 27.0 + 30.1 + 16.0 + 14.4 + 39.3 + 5.1	+ 2,770.10 + 1,356.60 + 164.18 + 214.20 + 337.20 + 370.20 + 327.72
China	2,304.48	+ 29.1	1,017.24	+ 27.6	+ 1,287.24
Other Communist countries	350.16	+ 114.7	112.92	+ 53.8	+ 237.24

.

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

-4-

.

ANNEX to

C-M (75) 81

TABLE V - TABLEAU V

RECAPITULATION OF OVERALL DEVELOPMENT 1959-1974 FOR NATO EUROPE, NATO NORTH AMERICA AND TOTAL NATO RECAPITULATION DU DEVELOPPEMENT GLOBAL 1959-1974 POUR OTAN EUROPE, OTAN AMERIQUE DU NORD ET TOTAL OTAN

EXPORTS TO EASTERN EUROPE, THE USSR, CHINA AND THE WORLD EXPORTATIONS VERS L'EUROPE DE L'EST, L'URSS, LA CHINE ET LE MONDE

Millions US Dollars (Annual Totals) - Millions de Dollars EU (Totaux annuels)

	penner Marrissien verbringen	EASTERN EUROPE USSR EUROPE DE L'EST URSS							CHIN A CHIN E		TOTAL COMMUNIST COUNTRIES TOTAL DES PAYS COMMUNISTES			WORLD MONDE		
		NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	TOTAL NATO	NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	TOTAL NATO	NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	TOTAL NATO	NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	TOTAL NATO	NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	TOTAL NATO
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
1	1 95 9	. 963	107	1,070	403	20	423	330	2	332	1 ,69 6	129	1,825	38, 282	22,778	61,060
2	1960	1,066	182	1,248	624	48	672	335	9	344	2,025	239	2,264	45,512	25,861	69,373
3	1961	1,187	159	1,346	691	70	761	156	121	277	2,034	350	2,384	46,724	26,448	73,172
4	1962	1,237	147	1,384	733	23	756	134	138	272	2,104	308	2,412	49,205	27,293	76,498
5	1963	1,282	184	1,466	630	162	792	157	97	254	2,069	443	2,512	53,767	29,393	83,160
6	1964	1,470	342	1,812	585	439	1,024	164	126	290	2,219	907	3,126	59 ,9 27	33,785	93,712
7	1965	1,760	194	1,954	590	227	817	313	97	410	2,663	519	3,182	67,118	35,111	102,229
8	1966	2,283	218	2,501	587	338	925	423	171	594	3,322	734	4,056	73,407	39,871	113,278
9	1967	2,461	178	2,639	870	180	1,050	531	84	615	3,887	447	4,334	76,938	42,082	119,020
10	1968	2,436	201	2,637	1,154	140	1,294	451	151	602	4,069	495	4,564	86,233	46,9 63	133,196
11	1969	2,766	159	2,925	1,399	155	1,514	435	113	548	4,667	391	5,058	96,974	51,742	148,716
12	1970	3,220	270	3,490	1,474	215	1,689	473	135	608	5,197	625	5,822	112,382	59,361	171,743
13	1971	3,704	263	3,967	1,451	287	1,738	417	202	619	5,603	763	6,366	128,071	61,813	189,884
14	1972	4,753	336	5,089	1,825	833	2,658	456	322	778	7,073	1,503	8,576	158,968	69,854	228,821
15	1973	6,781	685	7,467	2,802	1,482	4,285	808	977	1,785	10,531	3,169	13,699	219,171	95,510	315,681
16	1974	9,548	953	10,501	4,191	639	4830	1,0,51	1,253	2,304	15039	2,897	17,986	285.252	131,286	417,538

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

.

ъ

٠

ANNEX to

C-M (75) 81

TABLE VI - TABLEAU VI

RECAPITULATION OF OVERALL DEVELOPMENT 1959-1974 FOR NATO EUROPE, NATO NORTH AMERICA AND TOTAL NATO RECAPITULATION DU DEVELOPPEMENT GLOBAL 1959-1974 POUR OTAN EUROPE, OTAN AMERIQUE DU NORD ET TOTAL OTAN

IMPORTS FROM EASTERN EUROPE, THE USSR, CHINA AND THE WORLD IMPORTATIONS EN PROVENANCE DE L'EUROPE DE L'EST, L'URSS, LA CHINE ET LE MONDE

Millions US Dollars (Annual Totals) - Millions de Dollars EU (Totaux annuels)

			ern Eurof Pe de l'e			USSR URSS			CHINA CHINE						WORLD MONDE		
		nato Europe	nato North America	TOTAL NATO	NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	total Nato	nato Europe	NATO MORTH MORTH	total Nato	nato Europe	nato North America	TOTAL NATO	NATO EUROPE	NATO NORTH AMERICA	total Nato	
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(3)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	
1	1959	957	62	1,019	642	30	672	191	5	196	1,790	97	1,887	40,080	20,885	60,965	
2	1960	1,145	67	1,212	758	. 26	784	231	6	237	2,134	99	2,233	47,494	20,515	68,009	
3	1961	1,202	71	1,273	790	25	815	181	4,	185	2,173	100	2,273	50,131	20,054	70,185	
4	1962	1,256	76	1,332	858	18	876	159	4,	163	2,273	98	2,371	54,295	22,101	76,396	
5	1963	1,450	76	1,526	930	24	954	163	5	168	2,543	105	2,648	60,354	23,113	83,467	
6	1964	1,554	101	1,655	896	24;	920	220	9	229	2,670	134	2,804	67,504	25,652	93,156	
7	1965	1,781	123	1,904	1,098	52	1,150	29 3	14	307	3,172	189	3,361	73,213	29,268	102,481	
8	1966	2,037	168	2,205	1,216	60	1,276	361	19	380	3,623	248	3₀871	79,219	34,669	113,888	
9	1967	2,143	187	2,330	1,323	63	1,386	320	24	344	3,796	273	4,069	82,085	37,066	119,151	
10	1968	2,249	191	2,440	1,388	78	1,466	322	22	344	3,977	291	4,268	90,558	44,553	135,111	
11	1969	2,568	203	2,771	1,519	63	1,582	376	25	401	4,499	291	4,790	106,557	49,188	155,745	
12	1970	3,016	209	3,225	1,643	81	1,724	353	18	371	5,050	309	5,359	123,526	53,271	176,797	
13	1971	3,496	232	3,728	1,790	70	1,860	378	28	406	5,708	331	6,039	137,891	61,062	198,953	
14	1972	4,236	311	4,546	2,023	111	2,134	469	81	550	6,782	503	7,285	162,501	74,477	236,979	
15	1973	5,771	412	6,183	3,016	237	3,254	680	117	797	9,541	767	10,308	228,444	92,427	320,871	
16	1974	7,029	702	7,731	4,453	374	4,827	840	177	î₀017	12,435	1,253	13,688	3.12,41	133,265	445,682	

6 -

-7-


ANNEX to C-M (75) 81


GRAPH I - GRAPHIQUE I

THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH THE WORLD AND THE COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1960 - 1974

LE DEVELOPPEMENT DU COMMERCE DES PAYS DE L'OTAN AVEC LE MONDE ET LES PAYS COMMUNISTES 1960 = 1974

> NATO EUROPE EXPORTS 1960 = 100 (*) EXPORTATIONS OTAN EUROPE 1960 = 100 (*)





NATO UNCLASSIFIED


.

ANNEX to C-M (75) 81

GRAPH II - GRAPHIQUE II

THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH THE WORLD AND THE COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1960 - 1974

LE DEVELOPPEMENT DU COMMERCE DES PAYS DE L'OTAN AVEC LE MONDE ET LES PAYS COMMUNISTES 1960 - 1974



 (*) The absolute values (million US \$) for 1974 would read as follows : USSR = 4.453; Eastern Europe = 7.029; Communist China; 840; World = 312.417 (see Table VI) Les valeurs absolues (en millions de \$ EU) des importations pour 1974 s'établissent comme suit : URSS 4.453; Europe de l'Est 7.029; Chine Communiste 840; Monde 312.417 (Voir Tableau VI)

i,e

- 6 -


GRAPH III - GRAPHIQUE III

THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE

WITH THE WORLD AND THE COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1960 - 1974

AVEC LE MONDE ET LES PAYS COMMUNISTES 1960 - 1974

(*) 001 = 0.001 ZTRORYE AJIRAMA HTRON OTAM (*) 001 = 0.001 NORP 1960 UN NORP 1960 (*)



(*) The absolute values (million US \$) for 1974 would read as follows : USSR = 639; Eastern Europe = 953; Communist China = 1.253; Warld = 131.286 (see Table V) Les valeurs absolues (en millions de \$EU) des exportations pour 1974 s'établissent comme suit : Les valeurs absolues (et millions de \$EU) des exportations pour 1974 s'établissent comme vit : URSS = 639; Europe de l'Est = 953; Chine Communiste = 1.253; Mande = 131.286 (voir Tableau V)

- 10 -


ANNEX to C-M (75) 81

GRAPH IV - GRAPHIQUE IV

THE DEVELOPMENT OF NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH THE WORLD AND THE COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1960 - 1974

LE DEVELOPPEMENT DU COMMERCE DES PAYS DE L'OTAN AVEC LE MONDE ET LES PAYS COMMUNISTES 1960 - 1974

NATO NORTH AMERICA IMPORTS 1960 = 100 (*) IMPORTATIONS OTAN AMERIQUE DU NORD 1960 = 100 (*)



 (*) The absolute values (million US \$) for 1974 would read as follows: USSR = 374; Eastern Europe = 702; Communist China = 177; World = 133.265 (see Table VI)
 Les valeurs absolues (en millions de \$ EU) des importations pour 1974 s'établissent comme suit : URSS = 374; Europe de l'Est = 702; Chine Communiste = 177; Monde = 133.265 (voir Tableau VI)

NATO UNCLASSIFIED

÷


GRAPH V - GRAPHIQUE V

111 - C1 - NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1969 - 1974

111 - CI - COMMERCE DES PAYS DE L'OTAN AVEC CHACUN DES PAYS COMMUNISTES 1969 - 1974

1. EXPORTS - EXPORTATIONS

(IN VALUE TERMS - EN VALEUR)



NATO UNCLASSIFIED

ANNEX: to C M (75) 81





a,`

LECTURE PUBLIQUE

N E

PUBLIC DISCLOSED/MISE

I.


DECLASSIFIED/DECLASSIFIEE

GRAPH VI - GRAPHIQUE VI

III - C2 - NATO COUNTRIES' TRADE WITH EACH OF THE INDIVIDUAL COMMUNIST COUNTRIES 1969 - 1974

III - C2 - COMMERCE DES PAYS DE L'OTAN AVEC CHACUN DES PAYS COMMUNISTES 1969 - 1974

2. IMPORTS - IMPORTATIONS (IN VALUE TERMS - EN VALEUR)



NATO UNCLASSIFIED

ANNEX to C-M (75) 81

